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ASYMPTOTIC POINCARÉ MAPS ALONG THE EDGES OF POLYTOPIES

HASSAN NAJAFI ALISHAH, PEDRO DUARTE, AND TELMO PEIXE

ABSTRACT. For a class of flows on polytopes, including many examples from Evolutionary Game Theory, we describe a piecewise linear model which encapsulates the asymptotic dynamics along the heteroclinic network formed out of the polytope’s vertexes and edges. This piecewise linear flow is easy to compute even in higher dimensions, which allows the usage of numeric algorithms to find invariant dynamical structures such as periodic, homoclinic or heteroclinic orbits, which if robust persist as invariant dynamical structures of the original flow. We apply this method to prove the existence of chaotic behavior in some Hamiltonian replicator systems on the five dimensional simplex.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Given a flow on a polytope, leaving all its faces invariant, we call flowing edge to any edge of the polytope consisting of a single orbit flowing between the two endpoint singularities. The purpose of this
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paper is to present a new method to encapsulate and analyze the asymptotic dynamics of the flow along the heteroclinic network formed by the flowing edges and the vertex singularities of the polytope.

Natural examples of such dynamical systems arise in Evolutionary Game Theory (EGT), which was the background motivation for the present work. Even though the phase space of the dynamical systems arising from EGT are usually simplexes or products of simplexes, we state our results in the more general context of simple polytopes in order to have a mathematically comprehensive approach which is also open to new examples.

The replicator equations, introduced by P. Taylor and L. Jonker [20], as well as the polymatrix replicator equation, studied by authors in [1, 2], induce flows on simple polytopes in the scope of applicability of the present results. Polymatrix replicator equations extend the class of bimatrix replicator equations [16,17].

The use of cross-sections and return maps to analyze dynamics along heteroclinic cycles is an old tool going back to Poincaré. In the context of EGT there is already an extensive literature on the study of boundary heteroclinic cycles [3,5,6,10,11,14]. The dynamics along heteroclinic networks has also been widely studied in the context of flows with symmetries [9, Chapter 6]. All these studies use the Poincaré map itself [18, Chapter 6] or its linearization [12, Chapter 17], usually for a bifurcation analysis of families of vector fields. Our method developed to analyze the dynamics along the vertex-edge heteroclinic network is applicable to a wide class of flows on polytopes, with few apriori hypothesis on their dynamics. For instance, some of the cumbersome conditions in the previously referenced works can be reformulated in our setting as appropriate assumptions on the (computable) asymptotic dynamics. Moreover, as mentioned below, our method applies to heteroclinic networks with degenerate saddles, in a setting which, as far as we know, is not covered by existing results.

The method presented here was first announced (without proofs) by the second author in [7]. In the following paragraphs, we give an overview of the method.

The Poincaré map defined along a heteroclinic or homoclinic orbit is a composition of two types of maps, the global and the local Poincaré maps. The global ones, \( P_\gamma \), are defined in tubular neighborhoods of the flowing edges \( \gamma \), see Figure 1. They map points between two cross sections \( \Sigma^-_\gamma \) and \( \Sigma^+_\gamma \) transversal to the flow along \( \gamma \). The local ones, \( P_v \), are defined in neighborhoods of vertex singularities \( v \). For any pair of flowing edges \( \gamma, \gamma' \) such that \( v \) is both the endpoint of \( \gamma' \) and the start-point of \( \gamma \), the local map \( P_v \) takes points from \( \Sigma^+_\gamma \) to \( \Sigma^-_\gamma \).

The nonlinearities of the global Poincaré maps \( P_\gamma \) fade away asymptotically, as one approaches the heteroclinic orbit, becoming identity
Figure 1. The local, $P_v$, and global, $P_\gamma$, Poincaré maps along a heteroclinic orbit.

maps in the limit. Local data is extracted from the underlying vector field $X$ at the vertexes, which will be referred to as the skeleton character of $X$. The asymptotic behavior of the flow is completely determined by this skeleton character and in particular the local Poincaré maps $P_v$ can be asymptotically linearized (in the sense that near the vertexes, trajectories become lines after a change of variables) in terms of this data.

In the generic case, the skeleton character of $X$ at a vertex $v$ consists of the eigenvalues of $X$ along the (eigen) directions of edges through $v$. The signs of these eigenvalues were used to form the characteristic matrix discussed in [12, Chapter 17]. The skeleton characters considered here are a bit more general. The skeleton character of a corner (a vertex $v$ and an edge containing it) may be non-zero even if the associated eigenvalue is zero. This makes the method applicable in certain degenerate situations with many zero eigenvalues. One such example is the compatification of a Hamiltonian Lokta-Voltra system, where all eigenvalues along directions transversal to the facet at infinity vanish [7].

To stage the asymptotic piecewise linear dynamics we introduce a geometric space referred to as the dual cone of a polytope. This space is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^F$, where $F$ is the set of the polytope’s facets. The dual cone will be a union of sectors, one for each vertex of the polytope, see Figure 2. Given a vector field $X$ on a $d$ dimensional polytope $\Gamma^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we describe a rescaling change of coordinates $\Psi^X_\epsilon$, depending on a blow-up parameter $\epsilon$, see Figure 7. This change of coordinates maps tubular neighborhoods of edges and vertexes to the dual cone of $\Gamma^d$. For instance, the tubular neighborhood $N_v$ of a vertex $v$ is defined by

$$N_v := \{ p \in \Gamma^d : 0 \leq x_j(p) \leq 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq d \}$$

\footnote{We call sector to any closed convex cone bounded by $d$ transversal facets, where $d$ is the sector’s dimension.}
where \((x_1, \ldots, x_d)\) is a system of affine coordinates around \(v\) which assigns coordinates \((0, \ldots, 0)\) to \(v\) and such that the hyperplanes \(x_j = 0\) are precisely the facets of the polytope through \(v\). The sets \(\{x_j = 0\} \cap N_v\) are referred to as outer facets of \(N_v\). The remaining facets of \(N_v\), defined by equations like \(x_i = 1\), are called the inner facets of \(N_v\). The previous cross sections \(\Sigma^\pm_\gamma\) are chosen to match these inner facets of the neighborhoods \(N_v\).

The rescaling change of coordinates \(\Psi^X\) takes points in \(N_v\) to points in the sector \(\Pi_v\) of all \(y = (y_\sigma)_{\sigma \in F} \in \mathbb{R}^F\) with \(y_\sigma \geq 0\) and where \(y_\sigma = 0\) whenever the facet \(\sigma\) does not contain \(v\). In the generic case, assuming we have enumerated \(F\) so that the facets through \(v\) are precisely \(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d\), the map \(\Psi^X\) is defined on the neighborhood \(N_v\) by

\[
\Psi^X(q) := (-\epsilon^2 \log x_1(q), \ldots, -\epsilon^2 \log x_d(q), 0, \ldots, 0)
\]

where \((x_1, \ldots, x_d)\) stand for the system of affine coordinates introduced above. Similarly, given an edge \(\gamma\), the map \(\Psi^X\) takes points in the tubular neighborhood \(N_\gamma\) of \(\gamma\) to points in the sector \(\Pi_\gamma\) of all \((y_\sigma)_{\sigma \in F} \in \mathbb{R}^F\) with \(y_\sigma \geq 0\) and where \(y_\sigma = 0\) whenever the facet \(\sigma\) does not contain...
γ. The map $\Psi^X_\epsilon$ sends interior facets of $N_v$ and $N_\gamma$, respectively, to boundary facets of $\Pi_v$ and $\Pi_\gamma$ while it takes outer facets of $N_v$ and $N_\gamma$ to infinity. Figure 3 represents the tubular neighborhoods of vertexes and edges of a triangle, where dashed lines stand for the outer boundary facets of these neighborhoods. Figure 4 depicts the range of the map $\Psi^X_\epsilon$ where the dashed lines stand for infinity.

![Figure 4. Range of the rescaling change of coordinates in the dual cone](image)

As the rescaling parameter $\epsilon$ tends to 0, the rescaled push-forward $\epsilon^{-2}(\Psi^X_\epsilon)_*X$ of the vector field $X$ converges to a constant vector field $\chi^v$ on each sector $\Pi_v$. This means that asymptotically, as $\epsilon \to 0$, trajectories become lines in the coordinates $(y_\sigma)_{\sigma \in F} = \Psi^X_\epsilon$. Given a flowing edge $\gamma$ between vertexes $v$ and $v'$, the map $\Psi^X_\epsilon$ over $N_\gamma$ depends only on the coordinates transversal to $\gamma$. Moreover, as $\epsilon \to 0$ the global Poincaré map $P_\gamma$ converges to the identity map in the coordinates $(y_\sigma)_{\sigma \in F} = \Psi^X_\epsilon$. Hence the sector $\Pi_\gamma$ is naturally identified as the common facet between the sectors $\Pi_v$ and $\Pi_{v'}$, see Figure 4. In fact, from the above definitions one gets that $\Pi_\gamma = \Pi_v \cap \Pi_{v'}$. Hence the asymptotic dynamics along the vertex-edge heteroclinic network is completely determined by the vector field’s geometry near the vertex singularities and can be described by a piecewise constant vector field $\chi$ on the dual cone, whose components are precisely those of the skeleton character of $X$. We refer to this piecewise constant vector field as the **skeleton vector field** of $X$. This vector field $\chi$ induces a piecewise linear flow on the dual cone whose dynamics can be computationally explored.
The flows associated with these piecewise constant vector fields are in general open dynamical systems. Some of them may have no recurrence at all. For instance attracting or repelling vertex singularities, or the existence of attracting or repelling singularities interior to non flowing edges, may divert trajectories and prevent the existence of cycles in the vertex-edge heteroclinic network.

We use Poincaré maps to analyze the asymptotic dynamics of the flow of $X$. For that we consider a subset $S$ of flowing edges such that every vertex-edge heteroclinic cycle goes through at least one edge in $S$. We call structural set to any such set $S$, see Definition 5.8. Then the flow of $X$ induces a Poincaré map $P_S$ on the system of cross sections $\Sigma_S := \bigcup_{\gamma \in S} \Sigma_\gamma$. Each branch of the Poincaré map $P_S$ is associated with a vertex-edge heteroclinic path starting with an edge in $S$ and ending at its first return to another edge in $S$. These heteroclinic paths are referred to as branches of $S$. Similarly, the flow of the skeleton vector field $\chi$ induces a first return map $\pi_S : D_S \subset \Pi_S \to \Pi_S$ on the system of cross section $\Pi_S := \bigcup_{\gamma \in S} \Pi_\gamma$ of the dual cone. This map $\pi_S$, referred to as the skeleton flow map, is piecewise linear and its domain is a finite union of open convex cones, one for each branch of $S$. Proposition 5.10 provides a simple sufficient condition for the domain $D_S$ of $\pi_S$ to have full Lebesgue measure in $\Pi_S$. In this sense $\pi_S$ becomes a closed dynamical system. We emphasize that the hypothesis of this proposition is not a requisite for the applicability of our method. Violation of the hypothesis simply allows the existence of open convex cones in $\Pi_S \setminus D_S$ corresponding to orbits which never return to $\Pi_S$.

The main result of this manuscript asserts that the Poincaré map $P_S$ in the rescaled coordinates $\Psi^X_\epsilon$ converges in the $C^\infty$ topology to the skeleton flow map $\pi_S$. More precisely, the following limit holds

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \Psi^X_\epsilon \circ P_S \circ (\Psi^X_\epsilon)^{-1} = \pi_S$$

with uniform convergence of the map and its derivatives over any compact set contained in the (open) domain $D_S \subset \Pi_S$, see Theorem 6.9.

Consider now, for each facet $\sigma$ of the polytope, an affine function $\mathbb{R}^d \ni q \mapsto x_\sigma(q) \in \mathbb{R}$ which vanishes on $\sigma$ and is strictly positive on the rest of the polytope. With this family of affine functions we can present the polytope as $\Gamma^d = \cap_{\sigma \in F} \{x_\sigma \geq 0\}$. In the generic case any function $h : \text{int}(\Gamma^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$h(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in F} c_\sigma \log x_\sigma(q) \quad (c_\sigma \in \mathbb{R})$$

rescales to the following piecewise linear function on the dual cone

$$\eta(y) := \sum_{\sigma \in F} c_\sigma y_\sigma$$

in the sense that $\eta = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon^{-2} (h \circ (\Psi^X_\epsilon)^{-1})$. When all coefficients $c_\sigma$ have the same sign then $\eta$ is a proper function on the dual cone. This
means in particular that all levels of $\eta$ are compact sets. Finally, if the function $h$ is invariant under the flow of $X$, i.e., $h \circ P_S = h$ then the piecewise linear function $\eta$ is also invariant under the skeleton flow, i.e., $\eta \circ \pi_S = \eta$. Thus integrals of motion of conservative systems, which have the previous form, carry over as piecewise linear integrals of the skeleton flow.

As a general principle, any robust structure invariant under the skeleton flow map persists as an invariant structure for the Poincaré map of the original flow. Since the former can be detected through linear algebra tools (e.g. algorithms for computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the skeleton flow map’s branches) this approach provides a method to analyze the dynamics of the original flow along the vertex-edge heteroclinic network, a method which can be equally well applied to higher dimensional cases. For Hamiltonian systems, to be discussed in a sequel paper, their conservative nature (in the context of Poisson geometry) is inherited by the skeleton flow map. In these cases the analysis of the dynamics reduces to the dimension of the symplectic leaves. We provide here a couple of Hamiltonian examples where this method proves the co-existence of chaotic behavior with elliptic islands.

Embedding the dual cone in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^F$ is formally and computationally convenient. Poincaré maps of the skeleton vector field along paths of the heteroclinic network are represented by $F \times F$ flow matrices on convex cone domains which can be explicitly determined. Both these flow matrices and their convex cone domains are expressed in terms of the vector field’s skeleton character.

Next we provide an alternative and more geometric realization of the dual cone as the normal fan of a polytope [22, Chapter 7]. A (complete) fan is roughly a family of polyhedral convex closed cones in some Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$ with disjoint interiors and such that their union is the whole space. The normal cone of a polytope $\Gamma^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ at a vertex $v$ is the closed convex cone

$$\Pi_v := \{ u \in \mathbb{R}^d : u \cdot (q - v) \leq 0, \forall q \in \Gamma^d \}.$$ 

The family of all normal cones of a polytope’s vertexes (with all their faces) is always a complete fan, referred to as its normal fan. Figure 5 shows the normal fan of a triangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Given a vertex $v$ of $\Gamma^d$ let $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ be the previously mentioned system of affine coordinates around $v$. Let $\vec{n}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the unit outward normal to the facet of $\Gamma^d$ represented by the equation $x_i = 0$. Then the restriction of the rescaling map $\Psi^X_{\epsilon}$ to the neighborhood $N_v$ with values in the normal

---

2 The precise definition of fan requires that the intersection of any two family members is either empty or else a common face and that faces of family members are also in the family.
cone $\Pi_v$ is defined in the generic case by

$$\Psi^X_\epsilon(q) := -\epsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{d} \log x_i(q) \vec{n}_j.$$  

In this construction, the skeleton vector field of $X$ is a piecewise constant vector field on $\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e., one which is constant on each normal cone $\Pi_v$ for a vertex $v$ of $\Gamma^d$.

Figure 5. Normal fan of a triangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Figure 6 illustrates a Hamiltonian vector field $X$ (a polymatrix replicator system) on the standard 3-dimensional cube, with a proper Hamiltonian function $h$. The left of Figure 6 depicts the cube with a few orbits of $X$ on some level set of $h$. As mentioned above, the function $h$ rescales to a piecewise linear proper function $\eta$ on the normal fan of the cube. All level sets of $\eta$ are octahedra (the cube’s dual). On the right of Figure 6, a few orbits of the skeleton flow on some level of the invariant function $\eta$ are shown.

Figure 6. Asymptotic linearization on the normal fan.
All graphics of this manuscript were produced with Mathematica and Geogebra software. We provide the Mathematica code used to analyze the examples and to make the graphics. This code can be used to numerically analyze specific examples, providing hints for analytic results.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define polytopes and all their associated notations, terminology and concepts. In Section 3 we introduce the class of vector fields on polytopes, the skeleton character of a vector field and other related concepts. In Section 4 we define the family of rescaling coordinates $\Psi_\epsilon$ and the dual cone of a polytope. In Section 5 we introduce the class of skeleton vector fields (piecewise constant vector fields) on the dual cone, whose dynamics encapsulate the asymptotic behavior of the original non-linear flow. We also define the concept of structural set and characterize those vector fields whose skeleton flow map is a closed dynamical system. In Section 6 we define the Poincaré return maps of a vector field, and then state and prove the main theorem, Theorem 6.9. In Section 7 we introduce a probe space of integrals of motion, describing their asymptotics on the dual cone of the polytope. We also describe a sufficient condition on the skeleton flow map for the existence of horse-sheds regarding the dynamics of the original vector field, see Theorem 7.8. In Section 8 we summarize a procedure to detect chaotic behavior by checking the assumptions of Theorem 7.8. In Section 9 we describe a couple of replicator Hamiltonian examples in the five dimensional simplex, where the previous procedure is applied. Finally, in Section 10 we discuss a few possible developments of this work.

2. Polytopes

In this section we provide preliminary definitions and notations about polytopes.
Given a convex subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$, we call affine support of $K$ to the the affine subspace spanned by $K$. The dimension of $K$ is by definition the dimension of its affine support.

**Definition 2.1.** A simple $d$-dimensional polytope is a compact convex subset $\Gamma^d \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of dimension $d$ and affine support $E^d \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ for which there exist a family of affine functions $\{f_i : E^d \to \mathbb{R}\}_{i \in I}$, referred to as a defining family of $\Gamma^d$, such that

(a) $\Gamma^d = \bigcap_{i \in I} f^{-1}_i([0, +\infty[).$

(b) $\Gamma^d \cap f^{-1}_i(0) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall i \in I.$

(c) Given $J \subseteq I$ such that $\Gamma^d \cap (\bigcap_{j \in J} f^{-1}_j(0)) \neq \emptyset$, the linear 1-forms $(df_j)_p$ are linearly independent at every point $p \in \bigcap_{j \in J} f^{-1}_j(0)$.

Given $J \subset I$, because of item (c), if non-empty, the intersection $\Gamma^d \cap (\bigcap_{j \in J} f^{-1}_j(0))$ is a $(d-|J|)$-dimensional face of the polytope. In particular for each $i \in I$, the set $\sigma_i := \Gamma^d \cap f^{-1}_i(0)$ is a $(d-1)$-dimensional face, i.e., a facet of the polytope. We denote the sets of vertexes, edges and facets, respectively, by $V$, $E$ and $F$. Since $\Gamma^d$ is a simple polytope, both these sets have $d$ elements.

**Remark 2.2.** By (c) of Definition 2.1 at any given vertex $v$, the co-vectors $(df_i)_v$ are linearly independent. So in a small enough neighborhood of $v$ the functions $\{f_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in F_v}$ can be used as a system of coordinates.

**Remark 2.3.** We have adopted here the standard terminology where a polyhedron is any convex set bounded by finitely many hyperplanes and a polytope is a compact polyhedron, see for instance [22]. We note that in [7] the term ‘polyhedron’ was used to mean compact polyhedron.

The elements of the set

$$C := \{(v, \gamma, \sigma) \in V \times E \times F : \gamma \cap \sigma = \{v\}\}$$

are referred to as corners, see Figure 8.

**Remark 2.4.** Any pair of the elements in a corner uniquely determines the third one. Therefore, we will sometimes refer to the corner $(v, \gamma, \sigma)$ shortly as $(v, \gamma)$ or $(v, \sigma)$. An edge $\gamma$ with endpoints $v, v'$ determines two corners $(v, \gamma, \sigma)$ and $(v, \gamma, \sigma')$, referred to as the end corners of $\gamma$. The facets $\sigma, \sigma'$ will be referred to as the opposite facets of $\gamma$.

**Example 2.5.** The $d$-dimensional simplex is the polytope defined by

$$\Delta^d := \left\{(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_d) : x_j \geq 0, \sum_{j=0}^{d} x_j = 1\right\}.$$
Figure 8. A corner \((v, \gamma, \sigma)\) in a three dimensional polytope.

The affine support of \(\Delta^d\) is the hyperplane

\[
E^d := \left\{ (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \sum_{j=0}^{d} x_j = 1 \right\}.
\]

The defining family of \(\Delta^d\) are the coordinate functions \(f_i : E^d \to \mathbb{R}, f_i(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_d) = x_i\). The simplex \(\Delta^d\) has \(d+1\) vertexes \(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d\) and \(d+1\) facets \(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d\), where \(v_j = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)\) is the vertex opposed to the facet \(\sigma_j = \Delta^d \cap \{x_j = 0\}\) for each \(j = 0, 1, \ldots, d\).

3. Vector Fields on Polytopes

In this section we introduce the general class of vector fields on polytopes to which our theory applies.

Let \(\Gamma^d\) be a simple \(d\)-dimensional polytope. A function \(f : \Gamma^d \to \mathbb{R}\) is said to be analytic if it can be analytically extended to a neighborhood of \(\Gamma^d\). We denote by \(C^\omega(\Gamma^d)\) the space of all analytic functions on \(\Gamma^d\). Similarly, we denote by \(X^\omega(\Gamma^d)\) the space of all analytic vector fields \(X : \Gamma^d \to \mathbb{R}^N\) such that for every face \(\rho \subset \Gamma^d\) and all \(x \in \rho\), the vector \(X(x)\) is tangent to \(\rho\). This tangency requirement on the vector fields \(X \in X^\omega(\Gamma^d)\) implies that for every facet \(\sigma \in F\), \(df_\sigma(X) = 0\) along \(\sigma\). By compactness the flow \(\varphi^t_X\) of any vector field \(X \in X^\omega(\Gamma^d)\) is complete on \(\Gamma^d\) with singularities at the vertexes of the polytope.

Given a vertex \(v\), consider the coordinate system introduced in Remark 2.2 \((x_1, \ldots, x_d) = (f_{\sigma_1}(q), \ldots, f_{\sigma_d}(q))\) where \(F_v = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d\}\). In these coordinates the analytic function \(df_{\sigma_l}(X)\) vanishes along the hyperplane \(x_l = 0\). By Weierstrass division theorem either there exist a positive integer \(\nu_l = \nu(X, \sigma_l)\), and the function \(H_{\sigma_l} \in C^\omega(\Gamma^d)\) which is non-identically zero along the face \(\sigma_l\) and such that

\[
df_{\sigma_l}(X) = \left( f_{\sigma_l} \right)^{\nu_l} H_{\sigma_l}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \dot{x}_l = x_l^{\nu_l} H_{\sigma_l}, \quad (3.1)
\]
or else $df_{\sigma}(X)$ is identically zero. In the later case, we set $\nu_I = \infty$. We say that $X$ has tangency contact of order $\nu(X, \sigma)$ with $\sigma$ and will refer to it as the order of $X$ at the facet $\sigma$. The map

$$\nu : \mathcal{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d) \times F \to \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, \infty\}$$

is called order function of $X$.

**Remark 3.1.** We have assumed analyticity for the sake of simplicity, also because the EGT models we have in mind are analytic (and even algebraic) vector fields. The results obtained in this work extend easily also because the EGT models we have in mind are analytic (and even algebraic) vector fields. The concept of order must first be defined locally.

For every corner $(v, \sigma, \gamma)$ there exists a unique vector $e_{(v, \sigma)}$ tangent to $\gamma$ at $v$ such that $(df_{\sigma})_v(e_{(v, \sigma)}) = 1$ and for any other facet $\sigma' \in F_v$, $\sigma' \neq \sigma$, $(df_{\sigma'})_v(e_{(v, \sigma)}) = 0$. Hence, $\{e_{(v, \sigma)}\}_{\sigma \in F_v}$ is the dual basis of the $1$-form basis $\{(df_{\sigma})_v\}_{\sigma \in F_v}$. The vectors $e_{(v, \sigma)}$ are eigenvectors of the derivative $DX_v$. If $\nu(X, \sigma) = 1$ then $H_{\sigma}(v)$ is the eigenvalue of the derivative $DX_v$ associated to $e_{(v, \sigma)}$. In the case $\nu = \nu(X, \sigma) \geq 2$, the eigenvalue associated to $e_{(v, \sigma)}$ is zero but we have

$$H_{\sigma}(v) = \frac{1}{\nu!}(df_{\sigma})_v(D^\nu X)_v(e_{(v, \sigma)}, \ldots, e_{(v, \sigma)}) \, .$$

To see this consider the coordinate system introduced in Remark 2.2.

$(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = (f_{\sigma_1}(q), \ldots, f_{\sigma_d}(q))$, where $F_v = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d\}$. Then the $l$th component of the vector field $X$ is $X_l(x) = x_l^\nu H_{\sigma_l}(x)$ and we have

$$H_{\sigma_l}(v) = \frac{1}{\nu!} \left[ \frac{\partial^\nu X_l}{\partial x^\nu_l} \right](0) = \frac{1}{\nu!}(df_{\sigma_l})_v(D^\nu X)_v(e_{(v, \sigma_l)}, \ldots, e_{(v, \sigma_l)}) \, .$$

**Definition 3.2.** The skeleton character of $X \in \mathcal{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ is defined to be the matrix $\chi := (\chi^v_{\sigma})_{(v, \sigma) \in V \times F}$ where

$$\chi^v_{\sigma} := \begin{cases} -H_{\sigma}(v) & \sigma \in F_v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \, .$$

We set $\chi^v_\sigma = 0$ when $\nu(X, \sigma) = \infty$. For a fixed vertex $v$, the vector $\chi^v := (\chi^v_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in F}$ is referred to as the skeleton character at $v$.

**Remark 3.3.** For a given corner $(v, \gamma, \sigma)$ if $\chi^v_{\sigma} < 0$ then $v$ is the $\alpha$-limit of an orbit in $\gamma$, and if $\chi^v_{\sigma} > 0$ then $v$ is the $\omega$-limit of an orbit in $\gamma$. Assuming that $X$ does not have singularities in the interior of an edge $\gamma$, if $\gamma$ connects the corners $(v, \sigma)$ and $(v', \sigma')$, then it consists of a single a heteroclinic orbit with $\alpha$-limit $v$ and $\omega$-limit $v'$ if and only if $\chi^v_{\sigma} < 0$ and $\chi^{v'}_{\sigma'} > 0$.

\footnote{For a smooth vector field $X$, the order $\nu(X, v, \sigma)$ at a corner $(v, \sigma)$ is the minimum integer $k \geq 1$ such that $(df_{\sigma})_v(D^k X)_v \neq 0$. The order of $\sigma$ is defined as $\nu(X, \sigma) := \min \{\nu(X, v, \sigma) : v \in F_v\}$.}
The replicator equation provides a class of analytic vector fields in the space $\mathcal{X}^s(\Delta^d)$. In the rest of this section we recall this equation and describe its skeleton character and order function.

Given a payoff matrix $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{R})$ the system of differential equations

$$
\frac{dx_i}{dt} = x_i \left( (Ax)_i - \sum_{k=0}^{d} x_k (Ax)_k \right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq d
$$

is called the replicator equation. The associated vector field $X_A$ is called the replicator vector field of $A$ and lies in our class, $X_A \in \mathcal{X}^s(\Delta^d)$. For a brief interpretation of this equation consider a population whose individuals interact with each other according to the set of pure strategies $\{0, \ldots, d\}$. A point $x = (x_0, \ldots, x_d) \in \Delta^d$ represents a state of the population where $x_i$ measures the frequency of usage of strategy $i$. Each entry $a_{ij}$ of $A$ represents the payoff of strategy $i$ against $j$ and this model governs the time evolution of the frequency distribution of each pure strategy. The equation says that the growth rate of each frequency $x_i$ is the difference between its payoff $(Ax)_i = \sum_{j=0}^{d} a_{ij} x_j$ and the average population’s payoff $\sum_{k=0}^{d} x_k (Ax)_k$.

The next proposition characterizes the skeleton character of $X_A$.

**Proposition 3.4.** Given $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{R})$, every facet $\sigma_i$ of $\Delta^d$ has order 1, 2 or $\infty$. More precisely

1. $\nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = 1$ iff $a_{ij} \neq a_{jj}$ for some $j$ or else $(a_{kj} - a_{jj})_{k, j \neq i}$ is not skew-symmetric. In this case $\chi_{a_i}^{\nu_j} = a_{jj} - a_i$ for all $j$.
2. $\nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = 2$ iff $a_{ij} = a_{jj}$ for all $j$ and $(a_{kj} - a_{jj})_{k, j \neq i}$ is skew-symmetric, but $(a_{kj} - a_{jj})_{k, j} \neq 0$ is not skew-symmetric. In this case $\chi_{a_i}^{\nu_j} = a_{jj} - a_i$ for all $j$.
3. $\nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = \infty$ iff $a_{ij} = a_{jj}$ for all $j$ and $(a_{kj} - a_{jj})_{k, j}$ is skew-symmetric. In this case $\chi_{a_i}^{\nu_j} = 0$ for all $j$.

**Proof.** Consider the conditions

(C1) $a_{ij} \neq a_{jj}$ for some $j$ or else $(a_{kj} - a_{jj})_{k, j \neq i}$ is not skew-symmetric.
(C2) $a_{ij} = a_{jj}$ for all $j$ and $(a_{kj} - a_{jj})_{k, j \neq i}$ is skew-symmetric, but $(a_{kj} - a_{jj})_{k, j}$ is not skew-symmetric.
(C3) $a_{ij} = a_{jj}$ for all $j$ and $(a_{kj} - a_{jj})_{k, j}$ is skew-symmetric.

It is clear that (C1), (C2) and (C3) are exhaustive and mutually exclusive conditions. Hence it is enough to prove that (C1) $\Rightarrow \nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = 1$, (C2) $\Rightarrow \nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = 2$ and (C3) $\Rightarrow \nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = \infty$.

Let $H_i : \Delta^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function

$$
H_i(x) := (Ax)_i - \sum_{k=0}^{d} x_k (Ax)_k.
$$

A simple computation shows that

$$
H_i(v_j) = a_{ij} - a_{jj}.
$$
where the \( v_j \) are the vertexes of \( \Delta^d \). Thus, if for some \( j \), \( a_{ij} \neq a_{jj} \) then \( \nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = 1 \) and \( \chi^{v_j}_{\sigma_i} = a_{jj} - a_{ij} \) for all \( j \). Assume now that (C1) holds and let \( \tilde{A} = (a_{kj} - a_{ij})_{k,j} \). Then \( H_i(x) = (\tilde{A}x)_i - \sum_{k=0}^d x_k (\tilde{A}x)_k \). If \( a_{ij} = a_{jj} \) for all \( j \) then \( (\tilde{A}x)_i = 0 \) for all \( x \in \Delta^d \). Also, if \( a_{ij} = a_{jj} \) for all \( j \) then the matrix \((\tilde{a}_{kj})_{k,j \neq i}\) is not skew-symmetric. This implies that the closed cone \( C_i \) defined by the conditions \( x_i = 0 \) and \( x^T \tilde{A}x = 0 \) has zero Lebesgue measure in the hyperplane \( \{ x_i = 0 \} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \). Therefore \( C_i \cap \sigma_i \) has zero Lebesgue measure in the facet \( \sigma_i \), which implies that \( H_i(x) = -x^T \tilde{A}x \) is not identically zero on \( \sigma_i \). Hence \( \nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = 1 \) and \( \chi^{v_j}_{\sigma_i} = -H_i(v_j) = a_{jj} - a_{ij} = 0 \) for all \( j \).

Assuming (C2) holds we have \((\tilde{A}x)_i = 0\) and

\[
H_i(x) = -x^T \tilde{A}x = -x_i \sum_{j=0}^d (a_{ji} - a_{ii}) x_j.
\]

Because \((a_{kj} - a_{ij})_{k,j}\) is not skew-symmetric we have \( a_{ji} \neq a_{ii} \) for some \( j \). Thus \( \nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = 2 \) and \( \chi^{v_j}_{\sigma_i} = a_{ji} - a_{ii} \) in this case.

Finally, if (C3) holds then \( H_i \equiv 0 \), which implies \( \nu(X_A, \sigma_i) = \infty \). \( \square \)

### 4. Rescaling Coordinates

In this section we define the dual cone of a polytope and introduce the family of rescaling coordinates \( \Psi^X_v \) described in the introduction.

Consider a polytope \( \Gamma^d \) and its defining family \( \{ f_\sigma \}_{\sigma \in F} \), see Definition 2.1. By Remark 2.2, the co-vectors \( \{ (df_\sigma)_v : \sigma \in F_v \} \) are linearly independent at every vertex \( v \). Multiplying each affine function of this family by some large positive number we may assume that the neighborhoods

\[
N_v := \{ q \in \Gamma^d : f_\sigma(q) \leq 1, \forall \sigma \in F_v \},
\]

with \( v \in V \), are pairwise disjoint, and that the functions \( \{ f_\sigma : \sigma \in F_v \} \) define a coordinate system for \( \Gamma^d \) on \( N_v \). For any edge \( \gamma \) connecting two vertexes \( v, v' \in V \) we can define a tubular neighborhood connecting \( N_v \) to \( N_{v'} \) by

\[
N_\gamma := \{ q \in \Gamma^d \setminus (N_v \cup N_{v'}) : f_\sigma(q) \leq 1 \text{ for all } \gamma \subset \sigma \}.
\]

As before, we may assume that these neighborhoods are pairwise disjoint between themselves. Furthermore, fixing a smooth submersion \( t : N \gamma \to [0, 1] \) such that \( t^{-1}(0) \subset \partial N_v \) and \( t^{-1}(1) \subset \partial N_{v'} \), whose restriction induces a diffeomorphism between \( \gamma \setminus \text{int}(N_v \cup N_{v'}) \) and \( [0, 1] \), the family of functions \( \{ t, f_\sigma \}_{\gamma \subset \sigma} \) defines a coordinate system for the polytope on \( N_v \). The edge skeleton’s tubular neighborhood

\[
N_{\Gamma^d} := (\cup_{v \in V} N_v) \cup (\cup_{\gamma \in E} N_\gamma)
\]

will be the domain of our rescaling maps \( \Psi^X_v \), see Figure 3.
Remark 4.1. We can turn the previous local coordinate systems over the neighborhoods \( N_v \) and \( N_\gamma \) into a global system of coordinates over \( N_{\Gamma^d} \) with values in \( \RR^F \) as follows:

Each point \( q \in N_v \) has coordinates \( x = (x_\sigma)_\sigma \in \RR^F \), where \( x_\sigma = f_\sigma(q) \) if \( v \in \sigma \) and \( x_\sigma = 0 \) otherwise.

Similarly, a point \( q \in N_\gamma \) has coordinates \( x = (x_\sigma)_\sigma \in \RR^F \), where \( x_\sigma = f_\sigma(q) \) if \( \gamma \subset \sigma \) and \( x_\sigma = 0 \) otherwise. Note that we have dropped the coordinate \( t = t(q) \) and hence this ‘coordinate system’ fails to be injective. The missing coordinate will not really matter because, as explained in the introduction, global Poincaré maps become identity maps asymptotically.

We use the following family of functions to define the rescaling coordinates. For every \( n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \} \), let \( h_n : [0, 1] \to \RR \) be the function

\[
h_1(x) = -\log x \quad \text{and} \quad h_n(x) = -\frac{1}{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x^{n-1}} \right) \quad n \geq 2. \tag{4.2}
\]

Remark 4.2. This family is characterized by the properties:

\[
(h_1)'(x) = -x^{-n}, \quad h_n(0) = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad h_n(1) = 0,
\]

which imply that the function \( h_n : (0, 1] \to [0, +\infty) \) is a diffeomorphism. A straightforward computation yields

\[
(h_1)^{-1}(y) = e^{-y} \quad \text{and} \quad (h_n)^{-1}(y) = (1 + (n - 1)y)^{-\frac{1}{n-1}} \quad \text{if} \quad n \geq 2.
\]

Definition 4.3. Given \( X \in \mathfrak{X}^c(\Gamma^d) \) we define the \( \epsilon \)-rescaling coordinate system \( \Psi^X_\epsilon : N_{\Gamma^d} \setminus \partial \Gamma^d \to \RR^F \) which maps \( q \in N_{\Gamma^d} \) to \( y := (y_\sigma)_{\sigma \in F} \) where

- if \( q \in N_v \) for some vertex \( v \):
  \[
y_\sigma = \begin{cases} 
  e^{2h_\nu(x,\sigma)}(f_\sigma(q)) & \text{if} \quad \sigma \in F_v \\
  0 & \text{if} \quad \sigma \notin F_v
  \end{cases}
\]

- if \( q \in N_\gamma \) for some edge \( \gamma \):
  \[
y_\sigma = \begin{cases} 
  e^{2h_\nu(x,\sigma)}(f_\sigma(q)) & \text{if} \quad \gamma \subset \sigma \\
  0 & \text{if} \quad \gamma \not\subset \sigma
  \end{cases}
\]

For a given vertex \( v \in V \) we define

\[
\Pi_v := \{ (y_\sigma)_{\sigma \in F} \in \RR^F : y_\sigma = 0 \quad \forall \sigma \notin F_v \}. \tag{4.3}
\]

Since \( \{f_\sigma : \sigma \in F_v \} \) is a coordinate system for \( \Gamma^d \) in \( N_v \) and the functions \( h_n : [0, 1] \to [0, +\infty) \) are diffeomorphisms, the restriction of \( \Psi^X_\epsilon \) to \( N_v \setminus \partial \Gamma^d \) is a diffeomorphism onto \( \Pi_v \).

Next consider an edge \( \gamma \) connecting two corners \( (v, \sigma) \) and \( (v', \sigma') \). Note that \( F_v \cap F_{v'} = \{ \sigma \in F : \gamma \subset \sigma \} \), which means that the image

\[
\Psi^X_\epsilon(N_\gamma \setminus \partial \Gamma^d) = \{ (y_\sigma)_{\sigma \in F} \in \RR^F : y_\sigma = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \gamma \not\subset \sigma \}
\]

is equal to \( \Pi_v \cap \Pi_{v'} \). We denote this image by \( \Pi_\gamma \). Notice that \( N_\gamma \) has dimension \( d \), while \( \Pi_\gamma \) has dimension \( d - 1 \). In particular the map \( \Psi^X_\epsilon \) is not injective over \( N_\gamma \).
Let us explain the use of the term ‘coordinate system’ here. As mentioned above, the family of functions \( \{ t, \{ f_\gamma \}_{\gamma \subset \sigma} \} \) defines a coordinate system for \( \Gamma^d \) on \( N_\gamma \). For any \( t_0 \in (0, 1) \), let \( \Sigma_{t_0} := \{ q \in N_\gamma : t(q) = t_0 \} \). This set is a transversal cross-section to \( \gamma \) at the point \( q = \gamma \cap t^{-1}(t_0) \). Between the boundary transversal cross-sections \( \Sigma_0, \Sigma_1 \), we have

\[
\Psi_{\epsilon}(\Sigma_t) = \Psi_{\epsilon}(N_\gamma) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].
\]

As mentioned in the introduction, asymptotically the global Poincaré maps are identity maps, see Lemma (6.2). Thus the asymptotic flow identifies all cross-sections \( \Sigma_t, t \in [0, 1] \). This makes the map \( \Psi_{\epsilon} \) a suitable ‘coordinate system’ for our purposes.

**Definition 4.4.** The dual cone of \( \Gamma^d \) is defined to be

\[
C^*(\Gamma^d) := \bigcup_{v \in V} \Pi_v,
\]

where \( \Pi_v \) is the sector defined at (4.3). Points of the dual cone will always be denoted by \( y = (y_\sigma)_{\sigma \in F} \).

By construction, the dual cone is the range of the \( \epsilon \)-rescaling coordinate system, i.e., \( \Psi_{\epsilon}^X(N_{\Gamma^d} \setminus \partial \Gamma^d) = C^*(\Gamma^d) \). In particular these coordinates determine a family of maps \( \Psi_{\epsilon}^X : N_{\Gamma^d} \setminus \partial \Gamma^d \to C^*(\Gamma^d) \). We will write \( \Psi_{v,\epsilon}^X \) instead of \( \Psi_{\epsilon}^X \) to emphasize that we are dealing with the restriction of the \( \epsilon \)-rescaling coordinates to the neighborhood \( N_v \), which is a diffeomorphism \( \Psi_{v,\epsilon}^X : N_v \setminus \partial \Gamma^d \to \Pi_v \).

To explain the term ‘dual’ notice first that \( \Pi_\gamma = \Pi_v \cap \Pi_{v'} \), whenever \( \gamma \) is an edge connecting the vertexes \( v \) and \( v' \). Similar relations hold for higher dimensional faces. In fact for any face \( \rho \subset \Gamma^d \), we can define

\[
\Pi_\rho := \{ (y_\sigma)_{\sigma \in F} \in \mathbb{R}_+^F : y_\rho = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \rho \not\subset \sigma \}.
\]

**Figure 9.** An edge connecting two corners
The dual cone $C^*(\Gamma^d)$ has a simplicial structure where $\Pi_\rho$ is a face of $C^*(\Gamma^d)$ for every face $\rho$ of $\Gamma^d$. Moreover, for any faces $\rho, \rho'$ of $\Gamma^d$, 

$$\rho \subset \rho' \iff \Pi_{\rho'} \subset \Pi_\rho.$$ 

The dual cone of a polytope can be identified with the polytope’s normal fan, which in turn coincides with the face fan of its dual polytope, see [22, Chapter 7]. This gives a short explanation for the inherent duality between a polytope and its dual cone.

The following technical lemma will be used to control the asymptotic behavior of $\Psi^\varepsilon$.

**Lemma 4.5.** For any $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$, there exists $0 < r(k,n) \leq 1$ such that the diffeomorphisms $h_n : (0,1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfy

1. \[ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \max_{0 \leq t \leq k} \sup_{\epsilon \leq \epsilon' \leq \epsilon} \left| \frac{d^k}{dy^k} h_n^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{\epsilon^2} \right) \right| = 0, \]
2. \[ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \max_{0 \leq t \leq k} \sup_{\epsilon \leq \epsilon' \leq \epsilon} \left| \frac{d^k}{dy^k} \left[ \epsilon^2 (h_t \circ (h_n)^{-1}) \left( \frac{y}{\epsilon^2} \right) \right] \right| = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq l < n. \]

Moreover $r(k,1) = 1$ for all $k \geq 1$.

**Proof.** For $n = 1$ take $r = 1$ regardless of $k$. The $k^{th}$ derivative of $e^{-y/\epsilon^2}$ is bounded, over $y \geq \epsilon$, by $\epsilon^{-2k} e^{-1/\epsilon}$, which tends to 0 as $\epsilon \to 0^+$. In this case the conclusion (2) is empty.

For $n > 1$ and $y \geq \epsilon^r$ the $k^{th}$ derivative of $h_n^{-1}(y/\epsilon^2)$ is bounded by

$$\frac{(n-1)^k}{\epsilon^{2k}} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( -\frac{1}{n-1} - j \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\epsilon^r}{\epsilon^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n-1}-k} \leq \epsilon^{(2-r)\left( \frac{1}{n-1} + k \right) - 2k} = \epsilon^{\frac{n^2-r}{n-1}} \leq 1.$$ 

which tends to 0 as $\epsilon \to 0^+$, provided we choose

$$0 < r < \frac{2}{n-1} + k = \frac{2}{1+(n-1)k} \leq 1.$$ 

The last inequality holds for any $n \geq 2$. This proves item (1).

Consider now the family of functions $g_l(\epsilon, y) := \epsilon^2 (h_t \circ h_n^{-1})(y/\epsilon^2)$ with $1 \leq l < n$. For $l = 1$ we have

$$g_1(\epsilon, y) = \frac{\epsilon^2}{n-1} \log \left( 1 + (n-1) \frac{y}{\epsilon^2} \right).$$

and over the interval $y \geq \epsilon^r$, $g_1(\epsilon, y) = O(\epsilon)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. The higher order derivatives of $g_1(\epsilon, y)$ are

$$\frac{d^k}{dy^k} g_1(\epsilon, y) = \pm (k-1)! \left( \frac{n-1}{\epsilon} \right)^{k-1} \left( 1 + (n-1) \frac{y}{\epsilon^2} \right)^{-k}.$$ 

Hence over the interval $y \geq \epsilon^r$

$$\frac{d^k}{dy^k} g_1(\epsilon, y) = O(\epsilon^{2-rk}) \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0$$
and this tends to 0 provided \( r < \frac{2}{k} \).

For \( 2 \leq l < n \) set \( \theta_l = \frac{l-1}{n-1} \) and notice that \( \theta_l < \frac{n-2}{n-1} < 1 \). A simple calculation gives

\[
g_l(\epsilon, y) = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{l-1} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{l-1} \left( 1 + (n-1)\frac{y}{\epsilon^2} \right)^{\theta_l}
\]

and over the interval \( y \geq \epsilon^r \) one has \( g_l(\epsilon, y) = O(\epsilon^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}) \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \). For \( k \geq 1 \), the higher order derivatives of \( g_l(\epsilon, y) \) are

\[
\frac{d^k g_l}{dy^k}(\epsilon, y) = \pm \frac{n-1}{l-1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (\theta_l - j) \left( \frac{n-1}{\epsilon^2} \right)^{k-1} \left( 1 + (n-1)\frac{y}{\epsilon^2} \right)^{\theta_l-k}.
\]

Hence over the interval \( y \geq \epsilon^r \)

\[
\frac{d^k g_l}{dy^k}(\epsilon, y) = O(\epsilon^{-r(\frac{n-2}{n-1} + \frac{2}{n-1})}) \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \to 0
\]

which tends to 0 provided \( r < \frac{2}{k(n-1)-(n-2)} \). This proves item (2). \( \square \)

To shorten statements about convergence in the forthcoming lemmas and theorems we introduce some terminology.

**Definition 4.6.** Suppose we are given a family of functions \( F_\epsilon \) with varying domains \( \mathcal{D}_\epsilon \). Let \( F \) be another function with domain \( \mathcal{D} \). Assume that all these functions have the same target and source spaces, which are assumed to be linear spaces. We will say that \( \lim_{\epsilon \to 0}^+ F_\epsilon = F \) in the \( C^k \) topology, to mean that:

1. **domain convergence:** for every compact subset \( K \subseteq \mathcal{D} \), we have \( K \subseteq \mathcal{D}_\epsilon \) for every small enough \( \epsilon > 0 \), and

2. **uniform convergence on compact sets:**

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \max_{0 \leq i \leq k} \sup_{u \in K} \left| D^k [F_\epsilon(u) - F(u)] \right| = 0.
\]

Convergence in the \( C^\infty \) topology means convergence in the \( C^k \) topology for all \( k \geq 1 \). If in a statement \( F_\epsilon \) is a composition of two or more mappings then its domain should be understood as the composition domain.

Next lemma relates the asymptotic push-forward of \( X \) by \( \Psi^X_\epsilon \) near a vertex \( v \) with the skeleton character \( \chi^v \) of \( X \) at \( v \), see Definition 3.2. It says that the vector field \( (\Psi^X_\epsilon)_* X \) rescaled by the factor \( \epsilon^{-2} \) converges to the constant vector field \( \chi^v \) on the sector \( \Pi_v \). In particular the trajectories of the push-forward vector field \( (\Psi^X_\epsilon)_* X \) are asymptotically linearized to the lines of the flow of the constant vector field \( \chi^v \). We will denote by \( \Psi^X_\epsilon \) the restriction of \( \Psi^X_\epsilon \) to \( N_v \). Define also

\[
\Pi_v(\epsilon) := \{ y \in \Pi_v : y_\sigma \geq \epsilon \quad \text{for all} \quad \sigma \in F_v \} \quad (4.4)
\]
Lemma 4.7. Consider the functions $H_{\sigma}$ defined in (3.1). Then
\[(\Psi_{v,\epsilon}^X)_*X = \epsilon^2 \left( \tilde{X}_{v,\sigma}^\epsilon \right)_{\sigma \in F},\]
where
\[\tilde{X}_{v,\sigma}^\epsilon(y) := \begin{cases} -H_{\sigma}((\Psi_{v,\epsilon}^X)^{-1}(y)) & \text{if } \sigma \in F_v \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma \notin F_v. \end{cases}\]
Moreover, given $k \geq 1$ there exists $r = r(k,X) > 0$ such that the following limit holds in the $C^k$ topology
\[\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (\tilde{X}_{v,\epsilon}^\epsilon|_{\Pi_v(\epsilon r)}) = \chi_v.\]

Proof. Let $F_v = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d\}$ and $(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = (f_{\sigma_1}(q), \ldots, f_{\sigma_d}(q))$ be the coordinate system introduced in Remark 2.2. Denote by $v_\sigma$ the order of the facet $\sigma$. Let $H_{t}(x)$ be the function $H_{\sigma}(q)$ expressed in this coordinate system. Then by (3.1), the equation $\frac{dq}{dt} = X(q)$ is equivalent to the system of differential equations
\[\frac{dx_l}{dt} = x_l^{v_\sigma} H_{l}(x), \quad 1 \leq l \leq d.\]
In these coordinates
\[\Psi_{v,\epsilon}^X(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \epsilon^2 (h_{v_1}(x_1), \ldots, h_{v_d}(x_d), 0, \ldots, 0).\]
Therefore, since the Jacobian of $\Psi_{v,\epsilon}^X$ can be identified with the diagonal matrix
\[D(\Psi_{v,\epsilon}^X)_x = -\epsilon^2 \text{diag}(x_1^{-v_1}, \ldots, x_d^{-v_d}) \]
the first claim follows.

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and take $r = \min_{1 \leq j \leq d} r(k, \nu_j)$, where $r(k,n)$ is the function in Lemma 4.5. Given $y \in \Pi_v(\epsilon r)$,
\[H_{\sigma_1}((\Psi_{v,\epsilon}^X)^{-1}(y)) = H_{1}(h_{v_1}(y/c^2)) \]
where $h_{v_1}(y/c^2) := (h_{v_1}^{-1}(\epsilon^{-2} y_{\sigma_1}), \ldots, h_{v_d}^{-1}(\epsilon^{-2} y_{\sigma_d}))$. Thus, by item (1) of Lemma 4.5 combined with Definition 3.2 the convergence follows. \(\square\)

5. Skeleton Vector Fields

In this section we define the skeleton of a vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}_\omega(\Gamma^d)$ and its corresponding skeleton flow map, explaining how it is computed and its dynamics is analyzed.

Definition 5.1. Given $X \in \mathcal{X}_\omega(\Gamma^d)$, the skeleton of $X$ is the piecewise constant vector field $\chi$ on dual cone $C^*(\Gamma^d)$ which is constant and equal to $\chi_v$ on each sector $\Pi_v$, where $\chi_v = (\chi_{\sigma}^v)_{\sigma \in F}$ is the skeleton character at $v$ introduced in Definition 3.2. Notice that for every vertex $v$, the vector $\chi_v$ is tangent to $\Pi_v$. 
Our goal is to study the piecewise linear flow generated by the skeleton vector field $\chi$. Remark 3.3 justifies that we call $\chi$-repelling a vertex $v$ such that $\chi^v_0 < 0$, $\forall \sigma \in F_v$, and $\chi$-attractive if $\chi^v_0 > 0$, $\forall \sigma \in F_v$. A vertex $v$ is said to be of saddle type if for some pair of facets $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in F$ one has $\chi^v_{\sigma_1}, \chi^v_{\sigma_2} < 0$. The edges of $\Gamma^d$ are also classified as follows.

**Definition 5.2.** Let $\gamma \in E$ be an edge with end corners $(v, \sigma)$ and $(v', \sigma')$. We say that $\gamma$ is a defined type edge if either $\chi^v_\sigma \chi^v_{\sigma'} \neq 0$ or else $\chi^v_\sigma = \chi^v_{\sigma'} = 0$. A defined type edge $\gamma$ is called

1. a flowing-edge if $\chi^v_\sigma \chi^v_{\sigma'} < 0$,
2. a neutral edge if $\chi^v_\sigma = \chi^v_{\sigma'} = 0$,
3. an attracting edge if $\chi^v_\sigma < 0$ and $\chi^v_{\sigma'} < 0$,
4. a repelling edge if $\chi^v_\sigma > 0$ and $\chi^v_{\sigma'} > 0$.

For a flowing-edge $\gamma$ with opposite corners $(v, \sigma)$ and $(v', \sigma')$, we write $(v, \sigma) \to \gamma (v', \sigma')$, whenever $\chi^v_\sigma < 0$ and $\chi^v_{\sigma'} > 0$. The vertexes $v$ and $v'$ are respectively called the source of $\gamma$, denoted by $s(\gamma)$, and the target of $\gamma$, denoted by $t(\gamma)$.

We call orbit of $\chi$ to any continuous piecewise affine function $c : I \to C^*(\Gamma^d)$, defined on some interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that

1. $c(t) = \chi^v$ whenever $c(t)$ is interior to some $\Pi_v$, with $v \in V$,
2. there is at most a countable set of times $t \in I$ such that $c(t)$ is not interior to any sector $\Pi_v$, with $v \in V$.

Writing $I = [t_0, t_n]$, a sequence of vertexes $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m)$ such that for some times $t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_{n-1} < t_n$ one has $c(t) \in \text{int}(\Pi_{v_j})$ for all $t_{j-1} < t < t_j$, is called the itinerary of the orbit segment $c$. This implies that $c(t_j) \in \Pi_{v_{j-1}} \cap \Pi_{v_j} = \Pi_{\gamma_j}$, where $v_{j-1} \to \gamma_j v_j$ is a flowing edge, for every $j = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. If there are flowing edges $\gamma_0$ and $\gamma_n$ such that the endpoints satisfy $c(t_0) \in \Pi_{\gamma_0}$ and $c(t_n) \in \Pi_{\gamma_n}$ then the sequence of edges $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$ is also referred to as the itinerary of the orbit segment $c$.

**Definition 5.3.** We say that a vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}^c(\Gamma^d)$ is regular when all its edges have defined type and

1. $X$ has no singularities in $\text{int}(\gamma)$ for every flowing edge $\gamma$,
2. $X$ vanishes along every neutral edge $\gamma$.

From now on, we will only consider regular vector fields. Figure 10 depicts the relation between the orientation of the flow of $X$ along $\gamma$ and the orientation of the flow of $\chi$ around $\Pi_{\gamma}$.

Given vertex $v$ of saddle type together with an incoming flowing-edge $v_\ast \to \gamma v$ and an outgoing flowing-edge $v \to v'$, denoting by $\sigma_\ast$ the facet opposed to $\gamma'$ at $v$ we define the sector $\Pi_{\gamma, \gamma'} = \Pi^X_{\gamma, \gamma'}$

$$\Pi_{\gamma, \gamma'} := \left\{ y \in \text{int}(\Pi_{\gamma}) : y_\sigma - \frac{\chi^v_\sigma}{\chi^v_{\sigma'}} y_\ast > 0, \forall \sigma \in F_v, \sigma \neq \sigma_\ast \right\} \quad (5.1)$$
and the linear map $L_{\gamma,\gamma'} = L_{\chi,\chi'}^\gamma : \Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'} \to \Pi_{\gamma'}$

$$L_{\gamma,\gamma'}(y) := \left( y_{\sigma^*} - \frac{\chi^\sigma_{\sigma^*}}{\chi^\sigma_{\sigma^*}} y_{\sigma^*} \right)_{\sigma \in F}. \quad (5.2)$$

Notice that $\Pi_{\gamma'} = \{ y \in \Pi_v : y_{\sigma^*} = 0 \}$

**Proposition 5.4.** Given a vertex $v$ of saddle type together with incoming and outgoing (flowing) edges $\gamma, \gamma'$ as above, the sector $\Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ is the set of points $y \in \text{int}(\Pi_v)$ which are connected by the orbit segment $\{ c(t) = y + t \chi^v : t \geq 0, c(t) \in \Pi_v \}$ to $L_{\gamma,\gamma'}(y) \in \text{int}(\Pi_{\gamma'})$.

**Proof.** Straightforward. $\square$

The map $L_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ is a Poincaré for the flow of $\chi$, which is represented by the following $F \times F$ matrix

$$M_{\gamma,\gamma'} = \left( \delta_{\sigma, \sigma'} - \frac{\chi^\sigma_{\sigma^*}}{\chi^\sigma_{\sigma^*}} \delta_{\sigma, \sigma'} \right)_{\sigma, \sigma' \in F}, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\delta$ stands for the Kronecker delta symbol. This matrix gives a global representation of the flow of $\chi$ which is suitable for computational purposes. The image of the map $L_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ is the convex cone $\Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'}^\chi$ associated with the vector field $-\chi$ and the pair $\gamma', \gamma$ of reversed flowing edges. Clearly $L_{\gamma,\gamma'}^\chi = (L_{\chi,\chi'}^{\gamma'})^{-1}$.

**Remark 5.5.** If $v$ is a saddle type vertex then any line parallel to $\chi^v$ through a point in $\text{int}(\Pi_v)$ must intersect at least two boundary facets of $\Pi_v$.

Conversely, if an orbit segment $c(t) = p + t \chi^v$ through a point $p \in \text{int}(\Pi_v)$ crosses the boundary of $\Pi_v$ at two points, $q = p + t_0 \chi^v$, with $t_0 < 0$, and $q' = p + t_1 \chi^v$, with $t_1 > 0$, and if $\sigma', \sigma_* \in F_v$ are the facets of $\Pi_v$ such that $q_{\sigma'} = 0$ and $q'_{\sigma_*} = 0$ then $\chi^v_{\sigma'} > 0$ and $\chi^v_{\sigma_*} < 0$. This implies that $v$ is of saddle type.

In this setting, if $\gamma, \gamma'$ are the edges through $v$, respectively in the corners $(v, \sigma')$ and $(v, \sigma_*)$, then $q \in \Pi_\gamma = \{ y \in \Pi_v : y_{\sigma'} = 0 \}$ and $q' \in \Pi_{\gamma'} = \{ y \in \Pi_v : y_{\sigma_*} = 0 \}$. Moreover, if both $\gamma$ and $\gamma'$ are flowing edges then $q \in \Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ and $q' = L_{\gamma,\gamma'}(q)$.
If the vertex $v$ is attractive or repelling (instead of saddle type), i.e., if all the characters $\chi_v^\sigma$, with $\sigma \in F_v$, have the same sign, then $\Pi_{\gamma,\gamma'} = \emptyset$. In these cases, it is not possible to connect any point in $\Pi_\gamma$ to a point of $\Pi_{\gamma'}$ through a line parallel to the constant vector $\chi^v$, see Figure 11.

Remark 5.6. Points in the boundary of $\Pi_\gamma$ are in the intersection of three or more sectors $\Pi_\sigma$ with $\sigma \in V$. Hence, if an orbit ends up in one of these points it is not possible to continue it in a unique way. In the sequel we disregard these types of orbits.

![Figure 11. Vertex types: (i) attractive, (ii) repelling and (iii) saddle type](image)

We now define skeleton flow maps along chains of saddle type vertices. Let

$$v_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_0} v_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} v_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_m \xrightarrow{\gamma_m} v_{m+1}$$

be a chain of flowing-edges. The sequence $\xi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)$ will be called a heteroclinic path, a heteroclinic cycle when $\gamma_m = \gamma_0$.

Definition 5.7. Given a heteroclinic path $\xi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)$, we define the skeleton flow map (of $\chi$) along $\xi$ to be the composition mapping $\pi_\xi : \Pi_\xi \to \Pi_{\gamma_m}$

$$\pi_\xi := L_{\gamma_{m-1},\gamma_m} \circ \cdots \circ L_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}$$

with domain

$$\Pi_\xi := \text{int}(\Pi_{\gamma_0}) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{m} (L_{\gamma_j,\gamma_{j+1}} \circ \cdots \circ L_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1})^{-1}\text{int}(\Pi_{\gamma_j})$$

For every $y \in \Pi_\xi$, $y \in \text{int}(\Pi_{\gamma_0})$, $\pi_\xi(y) \in \text{int}(\Pi_{\gamma_m})$ and moreover there exists an orbit segment from $y$ to $\pi_\xi(y)$ with itinerary $\xi$.

We also define the matrix

$$M_\xi := M_{\gamma_{m-1},\gamma_m} \cdots M_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2} M_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}$$

where the factor matrices $M_{\gamma_j,\gamma_{j+1}}$ were defined in (5.3). This matrix $M_\xi$ induces a linear endomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^F$ whose restriction to the sector $\Pi_\xi$ matches the skeleton flow map $\pi_\xi$.

In order to analyze the dynamics of the flow of the skeleton vector field $\chi$ it is convenient to introduce the concept of structural set and its associated skeleton flow map.
Definition 5.8. A non-empty set of flowing edges $S$ is said to be a structural set for $\chi$ if every heteroclinic cycle contains an edge in $S$.

Notice that the structural set $S$ is in general not unique. The concept of structural set can be defined for general directed graphs. It corresponds to the homonym notion introduced by L. Bunimovich and B. Webb \[4\], but here applied to the line graph \[4\].

We say that a heteroclinic path $\xi = (\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_m)$ is a branch of $S$, or shortly an $S$-branch, if

1. $\gamma_0, \gamma_m \in S$,
2. $\gamma_j \notin S$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, m - 1$.

We denote by $B_S(\chi)$ the set of all $S$-branches.

Definition 5.9. The skeleton flow map $\pi_S : D_S \to \Pi_S$ is defined by

$$\pi_S(y) := \pi_\xi(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \Pi_\xi,$$

where

$$\Pi_S := \bigcup_{\gamma \in S} \Pi_\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad D_S := \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}_S(\chi)} \Pi_\xi.$$

We now provide a sufficient condition for the skeleton flow map $\pi_S$ to be a closed dynamical system.

Proposition 5.10. Given a skeleton vector field $\chi$ on $C^*(\Gamma^d)$ and a structural set $S$, assume

1. every edge of the polytope is either neutral or a flowing edge,
2. all vertexes are of saddle type,

Then $D_S$ has full Lebesgue measure in $\Pi_S$.

Proof. The inclusion $D_S \subseteq \Pi_S$ is obvious.

For each flowing edge $\gamma$ with $v = t(\gamma)$, let $D_\gamma := \bigcup_{s(\gamma') = v} \Pi_\gamma, \gamma'$, with the union taken over the set of flowing edges $\gamma'$ such that $s(\gamma') = v$. Clearly $D_\gamma \subseteq \Pi_\gamma$. We claim that

$$\Pi_\gamma \setminus D_\gamma \subseteq \partial \Pi_\gamma \cup \bigcup_{\gamma' : s(\gamma') = t(\gamma)} L_{\gamma,\gamma'}^{-1}(\partial \Pi_{\gamma'})$$

which in particular implies that this set has codimension one in the sector $\Pi_\gamma$. Let us now prove the claim. By (2) the vertex $v$ is of saddle type. Since $v = t(\gamma)$, the corner $(v, \gamma)$ has positive character. Hence, given $q \in \text{int}(\Pi_\gamma)$ the orbit segment $c(t) := q + t\chi^v$ enters $\text{int}(\Pi_{\gamma'})$ for $t$ positive and small. By Remark 5.5, this orbit segment will eventually hit another boundary point $q' \in \Pi_{\gamma'} \subseteq \partial \Pi_\gamma$ for some edge $\gamma'$ through $v$. The same remark shows that $\chi$ has opposite signs at the corners $(v, \gamma)$

---

4 The line graph of a directed graph $G$, denoted by $L(G)$, is the graph whose vertices are the edges of $G$, and where $(\gamma, \gamma') \in E \times E$ is an edge of $L(G)$ if the end-point of $\gamma$ coincides with the start-point of $\gamma'$. 
and \((v, \gamma')\). Thus, by item (1) \(\gamma'\) is also a flowing edge and \(q' = L_{\gamma, \gamma'}(q)\). Therefore, if \(q \notin D_\gamma\) then
\[
q \in \bigcup_{\gamma': s(\gamma') = v} L_{\gamma, \gamma'}^{-1}(\partial \Pi_{\gamma'})
\]
which proves the claim.

Let \(D = \bigcup \gamma D_\gamma\) and \(\Pi = \bigcup \gamma \Pi_\gamma\) with the unions taken over all flowing edges. Define then a skeleton flow map \(\pi: D \to \Pi\) setting \(\pi(y) = L_{\gamma, \gamma'}(y)\) whenever \(\gamma, \gamma'\) are flowing edges such that \(\tau(\gamma) = s(\gamma')\) and \(y \in \Pi_{\gamma, \gamma'}\). The previous claim implies that \(D\) has full Lebesgue measure in \(\Pi\). In fact it shows that \(\Pi \setminus D\) has codimension one in \(\Pi\). The set \(D_\infty = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \pi^{-n}(D)\) has also full measure because \(\pi: D \to \Pi\) is locally a linear isomorphism and \(\Pi \setminus D_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \pi^{-n}(\Pi \setminus D)\) is a countable union of sets with zero Lebesgue measure.

Consider now \(y \in \Pi_S\), assume that \(y \in D_\infty\) and consider the itinerary \((\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots)\) of the corresponding (forward) infinite orbit. Then \(\gamma_0 \in S\). Assumptions (1)-(2) imply that the flowing edge graph has no terminal points. If we had \(\gamma_j \notin S\) for all \(j \geq 1\), there would be heteroclinic cycles disjoint from \(S\), which contradicts the fact that \(S\) is a structural set. Hence some initial segment \(\xi = (\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_m)\) of this itinerary is an \(S\)-branch, and \(y \in \Pi_\xi \subseteq D_{\xi}\). This proves that \(\Pi_S \setminus D_{\xi} \subset \Pi \setminus D_\infty\) has zero Lebesgue measure. \(\square\)

**Remark 5.11.** The proof of Proposition 5.10 shows that the maximal invariant set
\[
\hat{D}_S := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\pi_S)^{-n}(D_S)
\]
has full Lebesgue measure in \(\Pi_S\). Hence the skeleton flow map induces a homeomorphism \(\pi_S: \hat{D}_S \to \hat{D}_S\) on the Baire space \(\hat{D}_S\).

### 6. Asymptotic Poincaré Maps

In this section, we state and prove our main result. Given a structural set \(S\), consider the system of cross sections \(\Sigma_S = \bigcup_{\gamma \in S} \Sigma_{\gamma}^-\) transversal to the flowing edges in \(S\). Then the Poincaré map induced by the flow of a regular vector field \(X \in \mathfrak{X}(\Gamma^d)\) on \(\Sigma_S\) is “asymptotically conjugate” to the skeleton flow map \(\pi_S\) of \(\chi\).

For any flowing edge \(\gamma\) through a vertex \(v\) define
\[
\Sigma_{v, \gamma} := (\Psi_{v, \gamma})^{-1}(\text{int}(\Pi_{\gamma})).
\]
This cross section is transversal to the flow of \(X\) and is an inner facet of the tubular neighborhood \(N_v\). We will write \(\Sigma_{\gamma}^-\) or \(\Sigma_{\gamma}^+\), instead of \(\Sigma_{v, \gamma}\), according to the sign of the character \(\chi\) at the corner \((v, \gamma)\).

Let \(\mathcal{B}_\gamma\) be the set of points \(x \in \Sigma_{\gamma}^-\) such that the forward orbit of \(x\) has a transversal intersection with \(\Sigma_{\gamma}^+\).
Definition 6.1. The global Poincaré map along $\gamma$, see Figure 1,
$$P_\gamma : \mathcal{D}_\gamma \subset \Sigma^-_\gamma \to \Sigma^+_\gamma$$
is defined by
$$P_\gamma(x) := \varphi^{\tau(x)}_X(x),$$
where $\varphi^t_X$ stands for the flow of $X$ and
$$\tau(x) = \min\{ t > 0 : \varphi^t_x(x) \in \Sigma_{w',\gamma} \}.$$
Both functions $\tau$ and $P_\gamma$ are analytic.

Let
$$\Pi_\gamma(\epsilon) := \{ y \in \Pi_\gamma : y_\sigma \geq \epsilon \text{ whenever } \gamma \subset \sigma \}.$$ 
(6.1)
Notice that $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \Pi_\gamma(\epsilon) = \text{int}(\Pi_\gamma)$.

Lemma 6.2. Given a flowing-edge
$$(v, \sigma_0) \overset{\gamma}{\rightarrow} (v', \sigma'),$$
let $\mathcal{D}_\gamma \subset \Pi_\gamma(\epsilon')$ be the domain of the map
$$F_\gamma := \Psi^{X}_{v',\epsilon} \circ P_\gamma \circ (\Psi^{X}_{v,\epsilon})^{-1}.$$ 
Then
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} F_\gamma|_{\mathcal{D}_\gamma} = \text{id}_{\Pi_\gamma}$$
in the $C^k$ topology, in the sense of Definition 4.6.

Proof. If $F_v = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{d-1}\}$ then $F_{v'} = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{d-1}, \sigma'\}$ and
$$\{\sigma \in F : \gamma \subset \sigma\} = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{d-1}\}.$$ Since inside $\Pi_\gamma$ we have $y_\sigma = 0$ whenever $\gamma \not\subset \sigma$, we can express points in $\Pi_\gamma$ as lists $(y_1, \ldots, y_{d-1})$ where each $y_j$ abbreviates $y_{\sigma_j}$.

To simplify notations, let’s use $x_l$ and $\nu_l$, respectively, for the coordinate and order associated to $\sigma_l$, where $l = 1, \ldots, d-1$. Consider the flow box $(V, (t, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}))$ with $V = N_\gamma$ and the coordinate system introduced in the beginning of Section 4. In this flow box the vector field’s equation reads as:
$$\begin{cases} 
\dot{t} = 1 \\
\dot{x}_l = x_{\nu_l}^1 H_l(t, x), & l = 1, \ldots, d-1,
\end{cases}$$ (6.2)
where $H_l(t, x)$ is defined in (3.1). Integrating
$$\frac{d}{dt} h_{\nu_l}(x_l) = -\dot{x}_l x_{\nu_l}^{-1} = -H_l(t, x) \quad l = 1, \ldots, d-1,$$
yields
$$h_{\nu_l}(x_l(t)) = h_{\nu_l}(x_l(0)) - \int_0^t H_l(\varphi^s(0, x(0))) ds \quad l = 1, \ldots, d-1,$$
where $\varphi^t$ stands for the flow of the vector field (6.2). Therefore

$$P_\gamma(x) = \left\{ h_{\nu_l}^{-1} \left( h_{\nu_l}(x_l) - \int_0^{\tau(x)} H_l(\varphi^s(0, x)) ds \right) \right\}_{l=1,\ldots,d-1}$$ (6.3)
where \( \tau(x) \) is the time that the orbit starting at \( x \in \Sigma^{-}_\gamma \) takes to hit the cross-section \( \Sigma^{+}_\gamma \).

Expressing \((\Psi^{X}_{v,e})^{-1}\) in the coordinate system \((x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})\) on the neighborhood \( N_{\nu} \), for every point \((y_1, \ldots, y_{d-1}) \in \Pi_{\nu},\n\)

\[
(\Psi^{X}_{v,e})^{-1}(y) = \left( 1, h^{-1}_{\nu_1}(\frac{y_1}{e^2}), \ldots, h^{-1}_{\nu_{d-1}}(\frac{y_{d-1}}{e^2}) \right).
\]

By [6.3] we have

\[
P_{\gamma}(\Psi^{-1}_{v,e}(y)) = \left( h^{-1}_{\nu_1} \left[ h_{\nu_1}(h^{-1}_{\nu_1}(\frac{y_1}{e^2})) - \int_0^{\tau(\Psi^{-1}_{v,e}(y))} H_1 ds \right] \right)_{1 \leq l \leq d-1}.
\]

Hence \( F_{\nu}^{x}(y_1, \ldots, y_{d-1}) = (y'_1(\epsilon), \ldots, y'_{d-1}(\epsilon)) \), where by Definition 1.3

\[
y'_1(\epsilon) = e^2 h_{\nu_1} \left[ h^{-1}_{\nu_1} \left[ h_{\nu_1}(h^{-1}_{\nu_1}(\frac{y_1}{e^2})) - \int_0^{\tau(\Psi^{-1}_{v,e}(y))} H_1 ds \right] \right]
\]

\[= y_1 - e^2 \int_0^{\tau(\Psi^{-1}_{v,e}(y))} H_1(\varphi^s(\Psi^{-1}_{v,e}(y))) ds.\]

Notice that \( \tau \) is analytic, and together with its derivatives is locally bounded in a neighborhood of \( \gamma \cap \Sigma^- \). Moreover, every derivative \((D^{k}\varphi^t)_x\) is a solution of a system of linear equations with coefficients depending on \( \varphi^t(x) \) and on the lower order derivatives \((D^r\varphi^t)_x\) with \( r < k \). Arguing recursively, we can prove that for any \( k \geq 0 \) the \( k \)-th order derivatives of \( H_t(t, \varphi^t(x)) \) are uniformly bounded in a neighborhood of \( \gamma \cap \Sigma^- \), for \( 0 \leq t \leq \tau(x) \). Hence, it follows from item (1) of Lemma 1.5 that \( F_{\nu} \) converges to the identity map in the \( C^k \) topology. \( \square \)

**Remark 6.3.** By Lemma 6.2 for any flowing edge \( v \xrightarrow{\gamma} v' \), we can identify the two sections \( \Sigma^{-}_\gamma \) and \( \Sigma^{+}_\gamma \). We will refer to the identified section simply as \( \Sigma_\gamma \).

Let \( \gamma, \gamma' \) be flowing edges such that \( t(\gamma) = s(\gamma') = v \). We denote by \( \mathcal{D}_{\gamma,\gamma'} \) the set of points \( x \in \Sigma_{v,\gamma} \) such that the forward orbit of \( x \) has a transversal intersection with \( \Sigma_{v,\gamma'} \).

**Definition 6.4.** The local Poincaré map

\[
P_{\gamma,\gamma'} : \mathcal{D}_{\gamma,\gamma'} \subset \Sigma_{v,\gamma} \to \Sigma_{v,\gamma'}
\]

is defined by

\[
P_{\gamma,\gamma'}(x) := \varphi_X^{r(x)}(x), \quad \text{see Figure 1 where} \quad \tau(x) = \min \{ t > 0 : \varphi_X^{r(x)}(x) \in \Sigma_{v,\gamma'} \}.
\]

Given \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) take \( r = r(k, X) \) according to Lemma 4.7

**Lemma 6.5.** Given flowing edges \( \gamma, \gamma' \) such that \( t(\gamma) = s(\gamma') = v \), let \( \mathcal{D}^e_{\gamma,\gamma'} \subset \Pi_\gamma(e^r) \) be the domain of the map

\[
F_{\gamma,\gamma'}^e := \Psi^{X}_{v,e} \circ P_{\gamma,\gamma'} \circ (\Psi^{X}_{v,e})^{-1}.
\]
Then
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \left( F^\epsilon_{\gamma,\gamma'} \right)_{|\gamma,\gamma'} = L_{\gamma,\gamma'}
\]
in the $C^k$ topology, in the sense of Definition 4.6.

Proof. Setting $F_v = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{d-1}\}$ consider the system of coordinates $(y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{d-1})$ on $\Pi_v$ where each $y_j$ abbreviates $y_{\sigma_j}$. Assume the facets in $F_v$ were ordered in a way that

\[
\Pi_\gamma = \{ y \in \Pi_v : y_0 = 0 \} \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_{\gamma'} = \{ y \in \Pi_v : y_{d-1} = 0 \}.
\]

Let
\[
\partial_\gamma \Pi_v(\epsilon') := \{ y \in \Pi_v(\epsilon') : y_0 = \epsilon' \}
\]
\[
\partial_{\gamma'} \Pi_v(\epsilon') := \{ y \in \Pi_v(\epsilon') : y_{d-1} = \epsilon' \}
\]
be the boundary facets of the sector $\Pi_v(\epsilon')$ defined in (4.4), respectively, parallel to $\Pi_\gamma$ and $\Pi_{\gamma'}$. By Lemma 4.7, the Poincaré map of the vector field $(\Psi^X_{v,\epsilon})_* X = \epsilon^2 \tilde{X}_v$ from $\partial_\gamma \Pi_v(\epsilon')$ to $\partial_{\gamma'} \Pi_v(\epsilon')$ converges in the $C^k$ topology to $(L_{\gamma,\gamma'})_{|\gamma,\gamma'}$. We are left to prove that, see Figure 12, the Poincaré maps of this vector field from

\[
\Pi_\gamma(\epsilon') = \{ y \in \Pi_v : y_0 = 0 \text{ and } y_1, \ldots, y_{d-1} \leq \epsilon' \}
\]
to $\partial_\gamma \Pi_v(\epsilon')$, and from $\partial_{\gamma'} \Pi_v(\epsilon')$ to

\[
\Pi_{\gamma'}(\epsilon') = \{ y \in \Pi_v : y_{d-1} = 0 \text{ and } y_0, \ldots, y_{d-2} \leq \epsilon' \}
\]
converge to the identity maps in the $C^k$ topology as $\epsilon \to 0^+$.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Pi_\gamma(\epsilon') \\
\partial_\gamma \Pi_v(\epsilon') \\
\Pi_{\gamma'}(\epsilon') \\
\partial_{\gamma'} \Pi_v(\epsilon')
\end{array}
\]

Figure 12. The local map $L_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ factors as a composition of three projections.
The two convergences are analogous and we only prove the first one. The argument is similar to that of Lemma 6.2 but instead of (6.2) we consider the equations of X
\[
\dot{x}_l = x_l^\nu H_l(x) \quad 0 \leq l \leq d - 1 \tag{6.4}
\]
represented in the system of coordinates \((x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})\) on \(N_v\).

Notice that \((\Psi^X_{v,\epsilon})^{-1}\Pi_v(\epsilon^r) \subset \Sigma_{v,\gamma}\) is defined by the conditions
\[x_0 = 1 \text{ and } 0 < x_l < h_{\nu_l}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2-r}}\right), \quad 1 \leq l \leq d - 1.\]

Likewise, \(\Sigma_{v,\gamma} := (\Psi^X_{v,\epsilon})^{-1}\partial_v(\epsilon^r)\) is defined by \(x_0 = h_{\nu_0}^{-1}(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2-r}})\) and the same conditions above in the remaining coordinates. Let \(\tau^v(x)\) denote the time that the orbit starting at \(x \in \Sigma_{v,\gamma}\) takes to hit the cross-section \(\Sigma_{v,\gamma}\). Integrating the first component of (6.4), we have
\[
h_{\nu_0} \left(h_{\nu_0}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2-r}}\right)\right) - h_{\nu_0}(1) = - \int_{0}^{\tau^v(x)} H_0(\varphi^v(x))ds. \tag{6.5}
\]
Since \(-H_0(v) = \chi_{x_0}^v > 0\) there exists a neighborhood \(U_v\) of \(v\) where \(-H_0\) takes positive values. We can take a constant \(C > 0\) and shrink \(U_v\) so that \(-H_0 \geq \frac{1}{C}\) and \(\|D^r H_0\| \leq C\) for all \(1 \leq r \leq k\) on \(U_v\).

Without loss of generality we may assume that \(\Sigma_{v,\gamma}\) is contained in \(U_v\). From (6.5) we have
\[
\int_{0}^{\tau^v(x)} -H_0(\varphi^v(x))ds = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2-r}}, \tag{6.6}
\]
which implies
\[
\tau^v(x) \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2-r}}.
\]
Differentiating both sides of (6.6) with respect to \(x_l\), for \(1 \leq l \leq d - 1\), we obtain
\[
H_0(\varphi_{\epsilon^r}(x)) \frac{\partial \tau^v(x)}{\partial x_l} + \int_{0}^{\tau^v(x)} \nabla H_0(\varphi^v(x)) \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi^v(x)}{\partial x_l}ds = 0. \tag{6.7}
\]
Similar formulas can be driven for higher order derivatives of \(\tau^v\).

Arguing as in Lemma 6.2, we can bound the derivatives of the flow \(\varphi^v(x)\). Since the derivatives of \(H_0\) are also bounded, we infer from (6.7), and its higher order analogues, that the function \(\tau^v\) has bounded derivatives up to order \(k\). Finally, repeating the argument in the proof of Lemma 6.2 we conclude that the Poincaré map from \(\Pi_v(\epsilon^r)\) to \(\partial_v(\epsilon^r)\) converges to identity in the \(C^k\) topology as \(\epsilon \to 0^+\).

**Definition 6.6.** Given a heteroclinic path \(\xi = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)\), the composition
\[
P_\xi := (P_{\gamma_m} \circ P_{\gamma_{m-1},\gamma_m}) \circ \ldots \circ (P_{\gamma_1} \circ P_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1})
\]
is referred to as the **Poincaré map** of the vector field \(X\) along \(\xi\). The domain of this composition is denoted by \(\mathcal{D}_\xi\).
Lemmas 6.2 and Lemma 6.5 imply that given a heteroclinic path \( \xi \), the asymptotic behavior of the Poincaré map \( P_\xi \) along \( \xi \) is given by the corresponding Poincaré map \( \pi_\xi \) of the skeleton vector field \( \chi \). More precisely, given \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and taking \( r = r(k, X) \) according to Lemma 4.7 we have

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} (F_\xi)_{|D_\xi} = \pi_\xi
\]
in the \( C^k \) topology, in the sense of Definition 4.6.

**Proof.** Follows immediately from Lemmas 6.2 and 6.5. \( \square \)

As mentioned in the introduction, we are interested in studying the flow of \( X \) along heteroclinic cycles on the polytope’s vertex-edge network. To encode the semi-global dynamics of the flow \( \varphi_t^X \) along the cycles, we use Poincaré return maps to a system of cross-sections \( \Sigma_\gamma \), see Remark 6.3, placed at the edges of a structural set, see Definition 5.8. Any orbit of the flow \( \varphi_t^X \) that shadows some heteroclinic cycle must intersect these cross-sections in a recurrent way.

**Definition 6.8.** Let \( X \in \mathcal{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d) \) be a vector field with a structural set \( S \subset E \). We define the \( S \)-Poincaré map \( P_S : \mathcal{D}_S \subset \Sigma_S \to \Sigma_S \) setting \( \Sigma_S := \bigcup_{\gamma \in S} \Sigma_\gamma \), \( \mathcal{D}_S := \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}_S(\chi)} \mathcal{D}_\xi \) and \( P_S(p) := P_\xi(p) \) for all \( p \in \mathcal{D}_\xi \). Note that the domains \( \mathcal{D}_\xi \) and \( \mathcal{D}_{\xi'} \) are disjoint for \( \xi \neq \xi' \) in \( \mathcal{B}_S(\chi) \).

By construction the suspension of the \( S \)-Poincaré map \( P_S : D_S \subset \Sigma_S \to \Sigma_S \) embeds (up to a time re-parametrization) in the flow of the vector field \( X \). In this sense the dynamics of the map \( P_S \) encapsulates the qualitative behavior of the flow \( \varphi_t^X \) of \( X \) along the edges of \( \Gamma^d \).

**Theorem 6.9.** Let \( X \in \mathcal{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d) \) be a regular vector field with skeleton vector field \( \chi \) and a structural set \( S \subset E \). Then

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \Psi_\epsilon \circ P_S \circ (\Psi_\epsilon)^{-1} = \pi_S
\]
in the \( C^\infty \) topology, in the sense of Definition 4.6.

**Proof.** Follows from Proposition 6.7. \( \square \)

7. **Asymptotic integrals of motion**

In this section we introduce a probe space \( \mathcal{H}(\Gamma^d) \) for integrals of motion of the vector fields in \( \mathcal{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d) \). This space consists of analytic functions in \( \text{int}(\Gamma^d) \) with poles at the polytope’s facets. We show that a function \( h \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma^d) \) rescales to a piecewise linear function \( \eta : C^*(\Gamma^d) \to \mathbb{R} \) on the dual cone. Moreover, if \( h \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma^d) \) is an integral of motion of
a vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ then $\eta$ is also an integral of motion for the piecewise linear flow of the skeleton vector field $\chi$ of $X$.

Recalling that $\{f_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in F}$ is a defining family of the polytope $\Gamma^d$, let $\mathcal{F} = \{h_n \circ f_\sigma : n \geq 1, \sigma \in F\}$ where $h_n$ was introduced in (4.2), and define $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma^d)$ to be the linear span of $\mathcal{C}^\omega(\Gamma^d) \cup \mathcal{F}$. Since functions in the set $\mathcal{F}$ are linearly independent and $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma^d) = \mathcal{C}^\omega(\Gamma^d) \oplus \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$, each $h \in \mathcal{H}$ can be uniquely decomposed as

$$h = g + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in F} \mu_{n\sigma} (h_n \circ f_\sigma), \tag{7.1}$$

with $g \in \mathcal{C}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$, where only a finite number of coefficients $\mu_{n\sigma}$ are nonzero. Note that the differential $dh$ is given by the expression:

$$dh = dg - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in F} \mu_{n\sigma} \frac{df_\sigma}{(f_\sigma)^n}. \tag{7.2}$$

We define the order of $h$ at $\sigma$ to be the number

$$\nu^h(\sigma) = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu_{n\sigma} \neq 0\},$$

with $\nu^h(\sigma) = 0$ if all $\mu_{n\sigma} = 0$. The map $\nu^h : F \to \mathbb{N}$ is referred to as the order function of $h$.

**Definition 7.1.** The character of $h$ at $\sigma$ is the coefficient $\eta^h(\sigma) = \mu_{n\sigma}$ corresponding to the term with largest order $n = \nu^h(\sigma)$. The character is undefined if $\nu^h(\sigma) = 0$. We say that the function

$$\eta^h : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Gamma^d) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \eta^h(y) := \sum_{\sigma \in F} \eta^h(\sigma) y_\sigma$$

is the skeleton of $h$.

**Proposition 7.2.** Given a regular vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$ and a function $h \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma^d)$ with the same order function $\nu : F \to \mathbb{N}$, let $\chi$ be the skeleton of $X$ and $\eta : \mathcal{C}^\omega(\Gamma^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the skeleton of $h$. Then

1. $\eta = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \epsilon^2 h \circ (\Psi^X_{v,\epsilon})^{-1}$ over $\text{int}(\Pi_v)$ for any vertex $v$, with convergence in the $C^\infty$ topology.
2. $d\eta = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \epsilon^2 [(\Psi^X_{v,\epsilon})^{-1}]^* (dh)$ over $\text{int}(\Pi_v)$ for any vertex $v$, with convergence in the $C^\infty$ topology.
3. If $h$ is invariant under the flow of $X$, i.e., $dh(X) \equiv 0$, then $\eta$ is invariant under the skeleton flow of $\chi$, i.e., $d\eta(\chi) \equiv 0$.

**Remark 7.3.** Since $\nu$ is the order function of $X$, $\nu(\sigma) \geq 1$ for every facet $\sigma \in F$. Hence, because $\nu$ is also the order function of $h$ the skeleton character $\eta(\sigma) = \eta^h(\sigma)$ is well defined for all facets $\sigma \in F$.

**Proof.** Consider the system of local coordinates $x = (x_\sigma)_{\sigma \in F_v} = (f_\sigma(q))_{\sigma \in F_v}$ on the neighborhood $N_v$. According to decomposition (7.1) we can
write
\[ h(x) = g(x) + \sum_{\sigma \in F_v} \sum_{n=1}^{\nu(\sigma)} \mu_n \sigma h_n(x_{\sigma}) , \]
where \( g(x) \) is analytic in \( N_v \). Therefore, by item (2) of Lemma 4.5
\[ \epsilon^2 h \circ (\Psi^X)^{-1}(y) = \epsilon^2 g \circ (\Psi^X)^{-1}(y) + \epsilon^2 \sum_{\sigma \in F_v} \sum_{n=1}^{\nu(\sigma)} \mu_n \sigma h_n^{-1}(h_n \circ h_{\nu(\sigma)}^{-1}) \left( \frac{y_{\sigma}}{\epsilon^2} \right) \]
converges in the \( C^\infty \) topology to \( \sum_{\sigma \in F_v} \mu_{\nu(\sigma)} y_{\sigma} = \eta(y) \) over the sector \( \text{int}(\Pi_v) \). This proves (1) and also implies (2).

For item (3) we use the following abstract result. Given a smooth function \( h \) and a smooth vector field \( X \) on a manifold \( M \), and given a diffeomorphism \( \Psi : M \to N \),
\[ dh(X) \circ \Psi^{-1} = d(h \circ \Psi^{-1})[\Psi_*X] . \]
Since we are assuming that \( dh(X) \equiv 0 \), by item (2) and Lemma 4.7
\[ 0 = dh(X) \circ \Psi^X_{\nu,\epsilon} = d(h \circ (\Psi^X_{\nu,\epsilon})^{-1})[(\Psi^X_{\nu,\epsilon})_*X] \]
\[ = d(\epsilon^2 h \circ (\Psi^X_{\nu,\epsilon})^{-1})[\epsilon^{-2}(\Psi^X_{\nu,\epsilon})_*X] \]
\[ = d(\epsilon^2 h \circ (\Psi^X_{\nu,\epsilon})^{-1})[\hat{X}_{\nu,\epsilon}] \to d\eta(\chi^\nu) \]
as \( \epsilon \to 0 \). This proves that the piecewise linear function \( \eta \) is invariant under the flow of the skeleton vector field \( \chi \).

A continuous function \( h : M \to \mathbb{R} \) is said to be proper if for all real numbers \( a < b \) the pre-image \( f^{-1}[a, b] \subset M \) is compact.

**Proposition 7.4.** If \( h \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma^d) \) is proper in \( \text{int}(\Gamma^d) \) with order function \( \nu \geq 1 \) then its skeleton \( \eta : C^*(\Gamma^d) \to \mathbb{R} \) is also a proper function.

**Proof.** Fix a vertex \( v \) and let \( F_v = \{ \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d \} \). Take the usual system of affine coordinates \((x_1, \ldots, x_d)\) on the neighborhood \( N_v \) where \( x_j = f_{\sigma_j} \). In these coordinates \( h \) can be written as
\[ h(x) = g(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{p_j(x)}{x^{\nu_j}} \]
where \( g(x) \) is analytic in \( N_v \), \( \nu_j \) is the order of \( h \) at \( \sigma_j \) and each \( p_j(x) \) is a polynomial function such that \( \mu_j = p_j(0) \neq 0 \) is the character of \( h \) at \( \sigma_j \). On the sector \( \Pi_v \) the skeleton \( \eta \) of \( h \) is given by \( \eta(y_1, \ldots, y_d) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \mu_j y_j \). Since \( h \) is proper, the level set \( h^{-1}(0) \) is compact, which implies that \( h \) does not change sign in a small neighborhood of \( v \). Hence we can assume that \( h > 0 \) on \( N_v \). Because \( h(x) \) is equal to \( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\mu_j}{x^{\nu_j}} \)
put higher order terms as \( x \to v \), all coefficients \( \mu_j \) must be positive. Therefore
\[ \eta^{-1}([a, b]) \cap \Pi_v \subset \{(y_1, \ldots, y_d) : y_j \geq 0, \sum_{j=1}^{d} \mu_j y_j \leq b\} \]
is a compact set. Because \( v \) is arbitrary, \( \eta^{-1}([a,b]) \) is also compact. \( \square \)

**Remark 7.5.** Polymatrix replicator systems form a large class of models in EGT, that includes replicator and bimatrix replicator systems, falling within the scope of this work. The phase space of polymatrix replicators are prisms (products of simplexes), basic examples of simple polytopes. In \([1]\) the first two authors have characterized the class of Hamiltonian polymatrix replicator systems w.r.t. a class of algebraic Poisson structures. All these models illustrate the conclusions of propositions 7.2 and 7.4.

**Remark 7.6.** If \( X \) is a Hamiltonian polymatrix replicator vector field w.r.t. some algebraic Poisson structure in the interior of a prism \( \Gamma^d \), which has a proper Hamiltonian function \( h \), then its skeleton flow map is volume preserving on each level set of the skeleton of \( h \). This fact will not be proved here, see more in Section 10.

Throughout the rest of this section we assume:

1. \( X \in \mathcal{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d) \) is a regular vector field, with skeleton \( \chi \), such that all vertexes are of saddle type and every edge is either neutral or a flowing edge;
2. \( X \) has integrals of motion \( h_1, \ldots, h_k \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma^d) \), all with the same order function as \( X \);
3. \( \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_k : \mathcal{C}^\omega(\Gamma^d) \to \mathbb{R} \) are respectively the skeletons of \( h_1, \ldots, h_k \) and the forms \( d\eta_1, \ldots, d\eta_k \) are linearly independent on every sector \( \Pi_v \).

Consider the function \( \eta : \mathcal{C}^\omega(\Gamma^d) \to \mathbb{R}^k \) defined by

\[
\eta(y) := (\eta_1(y), \ldots, \eta_k(y)).
\]

Given a structural set \( S \) of \( \chi \) and \( c \in \mathbb{R}^k \) we define

\[
\Delta_{S,c} := \Pi_S \cap \eta^{-1}(c). \tag{7.3}
\]

Given an edge \( \gamma \) or a branch \( \xi \in \mathcal{B}_S(\chi) \) we also define

\[
\Delta_{\gamma,c} := \Pi_\gamma \cap \eta^{-1}(c), \quad \Delta_{\xi,c} := \Pi_\xi \cap \eta^{-1}(c). \tag{7.4}
\]

Notice that

\[
\Delta_{S,c} = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}_S(\chi)} \Delta_{\xi,c}. \tag{7.5}
\]

**Theorem 7.7.** Under assumptions (1)-(3), given a structural set \( S \) of \( \chi \), the skeleton flow map \( \pi_S : D_S \to \Pi_S \) induces a closed dynamical system on every level set \( \Delta_{S,c} \) with \( c = (c_1, \ldots, c_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k \).

**Proof.** Follows from propositions 7.2 and 7.4. \( \square \)

**Theorem 7.8.** Under assumptions (1)-(3), given a structural set \( S \) of \( \chi \), if \( p \in \Delta_{S,c} \) is a hyperbolic periodic point of \( \pi_S|_{\Delta_{S,c}} \), and \( q \) is an associated transversal homoclinic point whose orbit has a compact
closure contained in $\Delta_{S,c}$, then there exists a (compact) hyperbolic basic set contained in $\Delta_{S,c}$ for the map $\pi_S|_{\Delta_{S,c}}$. Moreover each level set

$$L_\epsilon := \Gamma^d \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{k} \{ h_j = \frac{c_j}{\epsilon^2} \},$$

with $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, contains a hyperbolic basic set for the $S$-Poincaré map $P_S|_{L_\epsilon \cap \Xi_S}$, conjugated to the previous one.

**Proof.** Given $p \in \Delta_{S,c}$ and its associated transversal homoclinic point $q$ consider an open neighborhood $U$ of the $\pi_S$-orbits of $p$ and $q$ whose closure satisfies $U \subset D_S = \bigcup_{\xi \in B_S(\chi)} \Pi_{\xi}$. Because $\Lambda_0 := \{ \pi_S^j(p) : j \in \mathbb{Z} \} \cup \{ \pi_S^j(q) : j \in \mathbb{Z} \} \subset U$ is a hyperbolic set, reducing the size of $U$, the maximal invariant set $\Lambda = \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_S^{-j}(U)$ is a hyperbolic basic set for $\pi_S|_{\Delta_{S,c}}$.

Consider now the system of cross-sections $\Sigma_S := \cup_{\gamma \in S} \Sigma_S^{-\gamma}$ transversal to the flow of $X$ and let $P_S$ denote the induced Poincaré map on $\Sigma_S$. By Theorem 6.9, the conjugated Poincaré map $\tilde{P}_S := \Psi \circ P_S \circ (\Psi)^{-1}$ on the (invariant) level set

$$\Pi_S \cap \Psi^X(L_\epsilon) = \Pi_S \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{k} \{ \epsilon^2 h_j \circ (\Psi^X)^{-1} = c_j \}$$

can be seen as a small perturbation of the skeleton flow map $\pi_S|_{\Delta_{S,c}}$. Notice that, according to Proposition 7.2, the level set $\Pi_S \cap \Psi^X(L_\epsilon)$ converges to $\Delta_{S,c}$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Thus, because hyperbolic basic sets are structurally stable, see [19, Theorem 8.3], there exists a hyperbolic basic set $\tilde{\Lambda}_0$ for the conjugated Poincaré map $\tilde{P}_S$ on $\Pi_S \cap \Psi^X(L_\epsilon)$. Finally by conjugacy $\Lambda_\epsilon := (\Psi^X)^{-1}(\tilde{\Lambda}_0) \subset L_\epsilon$ is a hyperbolic basic set for the Poincaré map $P_S|_{\Sigma_S \cap L_\epsilon}$ of the flow of $X$. \qed

8. Procedure to analyze the dynamics

In this section we briefly describe the computational steps that through Theorem 7.8 lead to the detection of hyperbolic basic sets.

**Input data:** The polytope $\Gamma^d$ and the vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}^\omega(\Gamma^d)$.

**Step 1.** Compute the character $\chi$ of $X$ and draw its flowing-edge graph. This step involves computing some derivatives at the vertex singularities. It can be done through a computer algebra system algorithm.

**Step 2.** Find a structural set $S$ for $\chi$. The search can be done by inspection if the flowing-edge graph is simple, or else using an algorithm for that purpose.
**Step 3.** Determine all $S$-branches of $\chi$. Once the structural set is known, a simple algorithm determines its branches.

**Step 4.** Find the integrals of motion of $X$ in $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma^d)$ and determine their skeletons. For instance if $X$ is Hamiltonian with respect to some Poisson structure, join to the Hamiltonian function of $X$ all the Casimirs of its Poisson structure.

**Step 5.** Make explicit the skeleton flow map $\pi_S : \Pi_S \to \Pi_S$. Use an algorithm to compute for each branch $\xi \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)$ the matrix $M_\xi$ as well as the inequalities defining the domain $\Pi_\xi$. Then represent (computationally) the flow map $\pi_S$ as a function defined by cases.

**Step 6.** Compute some random orbits of $\pi_S$ and determine their itineraries, using the previous step representation of the flow map $\pi_S$.

**Step 7.** Pick a few heteroclinic cycles $\xi$ from the previous itineraries and compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $M_\xi$. Use an algorithm to compute a matrix’s eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Every matrix $M_\xi$ is a projection of $\mathbb{R}^F$ onto a $(d - 1)$-dimensional subspace and hence has exactly $|F| - d + 1$ zero eigenvalues. If $k$ integrals of motion were found in Step 4, the eigenspace of $M_\xi$ associated with eigenvalue 1, $\ker(M_\xi - I)$, must have at least dimension $k$.

**Step 8.** Among the positive eigenvectors associated with eigenvalue 1 of $M_\xi$ look for saddle type periodic points $p = \pi^n_S(p)$. Any eigenvector $y \in \ker(M_\xi - I)$ with non-negative entries belongs to the dual cone and is a prospective periodic point of $\pi_S$, but one still needs to verify that $y \in \Pi_\xi$.

**Step 9.** Fix the level $c$ such that $p \in \Delta_{c,S}$.

**Step 10.** Compute the local stable and unstable manifolds of $p$ inside the component $\Delta_{\xi,c} \subseteq \Delta_{S,c}$ that contains $p$.

**Step 11.** Iterate the local stable manifold backward and the local unstable manifold forward, looking for transversal intersections.

9. **Examples**

We will now present two examples, both replicators, illustrating the procedure detailed in the previous section. The second example belongs to a class of systems studied by Wang et al. [21].

By Theorem 7.8 the dynamics of these two systems are chaotic, i.e., their flows contain horse-shoes, in sufficiently large levels.
Example 1. Consider the replicator system defined by matrix

\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 2 & 0 & -3 \\ -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

We denote by \( X_A \) the vector field associated to this replicator defined on the simplex \( \Delta^5 \). The point \( q = \left( \frac{72}{245}, \frac{33}{280}, \frac{72}{245}, \frac{33}{280}, \frac{72}{245}, \frac{-23}{196} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^6 \) satisfies

1. \( (Aq)_1 = (Aq)_2 = (Aq)_3 = (Aq)_4 = (Aq)_5 = 0; \)
2. \( q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4 + q_5 = 1, \)

and hence is an equilibrium of \( X_A \), see [2, Definition 4.1]. Since matrix \( A \) is skew-symmetric, the associated replicator is conservative, i.e., \( X_A \) is Hamiltonian with respect to some stratified Poisson structure on \( \Delta^5 \), see [2, Definition 4.3, Proposition 12].

The polytope \( \Delta^5 \) has five faces labeled by an index \( j \) ranging from 1 to 6, and designated by \( \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6 \). The vertexes of the phase space \( \Delta^5 \) are also labeled by \( i \in \{1, \ldots, 6\} \), where the label \( i \) stands for the point \( e_i \in \Delta^5 \). To simplify the notation we designate the simplex’s vertexes by \( v_1, \ldots, v_6 \). The skeleton character \( \chi_A \) of \( X_A \) is displayed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \chi^v_\sigma )</th>
<th>( \sigma_1 )</th>
<th>( \sigma_2 )</th>
<th>( \sigma_3 )</th>
<th>( \sigma_4 )</th>
<th>( \sigma_5 )</th>
<th>( \sigma_6 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( v_1 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_2 )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_3 )</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_4 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_5 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_6 )</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** The skeleton character \( \chi_A \) of \( X_A \).

The edges of \( \Delta^5 \) are designated by \( \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{15} \), according to Table 1 where we write \( \gamma = (i \ j) \) to mean that \( \gamma \) is an edge connecting the vertexes \( v_i \) and \( v_j \). This model has 15 edges: 7 neutral edges, \( \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \gamma_7, \gamma_8, \gamma_9, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{14} \), and 8 flowing-edges, \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_5, \gamma_6, \gamma_{10}, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{15} \). The flowing-edge directed graph of \( \chi_A \) is depicted in Figure 13.

From this graph we can see that

\[ S = \{ \gamma_6 = (2 \ 3), \gamma_{10} = (3 \ 4) \} \]
Consider now the subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^6$ 

$$H = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^6 : \sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i = 1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad H_0 = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^6 : \sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i = 0 \}.$$ 

For the given matrix $A$, its null space $\text{Ker}(A)$ has dimension 2. Take a non-zero vector $w \in \text{Ker}(A) \cap H_0$. The set of equilibria of the natural extension of $X_A$ to the affine hyperplane $H$ is 

$$\text{Eq}(X_A) = \text{Ker}(A) \cap H = \{ q + tw : t \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$ 

The Hamiltonian of $X_A$ is the function $h_q : \Delta^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 

$$h_q(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{6} q_i \log x_i ,$$
where \( q_i \) is the \( i \)-th component of the equilibrium point \( q \). Another integral of motion of \( X_A \) is the function \( h_w : \Delta^5 \to \mathbb{R} \)

\[
h_w(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{6} w_i \log x_i,
\]

where \( w_i \) is the \( i \)-th component of \( w \), which is a Casimir of the underlying Poisson structure.

The skeletons of \( h_q \) and \( h_w \) are respectively \( \eta_q, \eta_w : \mathbb{C}^*(\Delta^5) \to \mathbb{R} \),

\[
\eta_q(y) := \sum_{i=1}^{6} q_i y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_w(y) := \sum_{i=1}^{6} w_i y_i,
\]

which we use to define \( \eta : \mathbb{C}^*(\Delta^5) \to \mathbb{R}^2 \), \( \eta(y) := (\eta_q(y), \eta_w(y)) \).

Consider the skeleton flow map \( \pi_S : \Pi_S \to \Pi_S \) of \( \chi_A \), see Definition 5.9. Notice that \( \Pi_S = \Pi_{\gamma_6} \cup \Pi_{\gamma_{10}} \), where by Proposition 5.10 \( \Pi_{\gamma_6} = \Pi_{\xi_1} \cup \Pi_{\xi_2} \) (mod 0) and \( \Pi_{\gamma_{10}} = \Pi_{\xi_3} \cup \Pi_{\xi_4} \cup \Pi_{\xi_5} \) (mod 0). By Proposition 7.2 the function \( \eta \) is invariant under \( \pi_S \). For all \( i = 1, \ldots, 5 \), the polyhedral cone \( \Pi_{\xi_i} \) has dimension 4. Hence, each polytope \( \Delta_{\xi_i,c} := \Pi_{\xi_i} \cap \eta^{-1}(c) \) is a 2-dimensional polygon.

By invariance of \( \eta \), the set \( \Delta_{S,c} \) is also invariant under \( \pi_S \). Consider now the restriction \( \pi_S|_{\Delta_{S,c}} \) of \( \pi_S \) to \( \Delta_{S,c} \). This is a piecewise affine area preserving map, see Remark 7.6. Figure 14 shows the domain \( \Delta_{S,c} \) and 10,000 iterates by \( \pi_S \) of a point in \( \Delta_{S,c} \). Following the itinerary of a random point we have picked the following heteroclinic cycle consisting of 11 S-branches

\[
\xi := (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_4, \xi_2, \xi_4, \xi_2, \xi_4, \xi_2, \xi_4, \xi_2) .
\]

The map \( \pi_\xi \) is represented by the matrix, see definitions (5.3) and (5.5),

\[
M_\xi = \begin{pmatrix}
-10 & -5 & 0 & 6 & 1 & -\frac{10}{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_{10,1} \\
10 & 5 & 1 & -5 & 0 & \xi_{10,2} \\
-\frac{3}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The eigenvalues of \( M_\xi \), besides 0 and 1 (both with geometric multiplicity 2), are (approximately)

\[
\lambda_u = -11.9161, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_s = -0.0839202 .
\]

The corresponding eigenvectors are

\[
w_u = (-0.734728, 0, 0, 0.067307, 0.667421, -0.10096) ,
\]

\[
w_s = (0.328842, 0, 0, 0.358966, -0.687808, -0.538449) .
\]

An eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue 1 is

\[
P_0 = (0.20512, 0, 0, 0.325586, 0.905134, 0.180699) .
\]
Notice that this $p_0$ is not unique because $\dim(\text{Ker}(M_\xi - I)) = 2$. We have chosen $c := (c_1, c_2) = (0.343447, -0.242852)$ so that $\eta(p_0) = c$, i.e., $p_0 \in \Delta S, c$. In fact we have $p_0 \in \Delta_{\xi_1, c} \subset \Delta_{\gamma_6, c}$. Hence $p_0$ is a periodic point of the skeleton flow map $\pi_S$ with period 11.

Figure 14 also depicts the polygons $\Delta_{\xi_1, c}, \Delta_{\xi_2, c}$ contained in $\Delta_{\gamma_6}$, and $\Delta_{\xi_4, c}, \Delta_{\xi_5, c}$ contained in $\Delta_{\gamma_{10}}$. The set $\Delta_{\xi_3, c}$ is empty for this choice of $c$. The orbit of $p_0$ is represented by the white dots in Figure 14.

Let $\ell_n^u$ and $\ell_m^s$ be line segments through $p_0$, contained in $\Delta_{\xi_1, c}$, respectively aligned with the eigen-directions $w_u$ and $w_s$. We denote by $\ell_n^u$ the $n$-th forward $\pi_S$-iterate of $\ell_0^u$ and by $\ell_m^s$ the $m$-th backward $\pi_S$-iterate of $\ell_0^s$, i.e.,

$$\ell_n^u := \pi_n^u(\ell_0^u) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_m^s := \pi^{-m}_S(\ell_0^s).$$

Let $p_k = \pi_k^S(p_0)$ and notice that $p_{10} = \pi_{10}^S(p_0) = \pi_{-1}^S(p_0) = p_{-1}$. Figure 14 also shows that in a few iterates transversal intersections occur between the “local stable” and the “local unstable” manifolds of different points of the periodic orbit of $p_0$. Namely, $\ell_{-6}^u \cap \ell_6^u \neq \emptyset$ and $\ell_{-5}^u \cap \ell_{10}^u \neq \emptyset$.

By Theorem 7.8 this implies the existence of chaotic behavior for the flow of $X_A$ in some level set $h_{q}^{-1}(c_1/\epsilon) \cap h_{w}^{-1}(c_2/\epsilon)$, with $c = (c_1, c_2)$ chosen above and for all small enough $\epsilon > 0$.

9.2. Example 2. Consider the replicator system defined by matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 2 \\
2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\
-2 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}. $$


We denote by $X_B$ the vector field associated to this replicator defined on the simplex $\Delta^5$. The point

$$\mathbf{q} = \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^5$$

is an equilibrium of the replicator $X_B$. Since matrix $B$ is skew-symmetric, the associated replicator is conservative, i.e., $X_B$ is Hamiltonian with respect to some stratified Poisson structure on $\Delta^5$.

Using the notation of the previous example, the skeleton character $\chi_B$ of $X_B$ is displayed in Table 4. This model has 15 edges: 3 neutral edges, $\gamma_3, \gamma_8, \gamma_{12}$, and 12 flowing-edges, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_4, \gamma_5, \gamma_6, \gamma_7, \gamma_9, \gamma_{10}, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{14}, \gamma_{15}$. The flowing-edge directed graph of $\chi$ is represented in Figure 15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\chi^v_{\sigma}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_1$</th>
<th>$\sigma_2$</th>
<th>$\sigma_3$</th>
<th>$\sigma_4$</th>
<th>$\sigma_5$</th>
<th>$\sigma_6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$v_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_2$</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_3$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_4$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_5$</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_6$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. The skeleton character of $X_B$.

From this graph we can see that

$$S = \{ \gamma_1 = (1 \ 2), \gamma_4 = (1 \ 5), \gamma_7 = (2 \ 4), \gamma_{10} = (5 \ 4) \}$$

is a structural set for $\chi_B$, whose $S$-branches denoted by $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{32}$ are displayed in Table 5.

Figure 15. The oriented graph of $\chi$. 
Consider the affine subspaces $H, H_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^6$ of the previous example. For the given matrix $B$, its null space $\text{Ker}(B)$ has dimension 2. Take a non-zero vector $w \in \text{Ker}(B) \cap H_0$. As before, $\{q + tw: t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the set of equilibria of $X_B$ on the affine hyperplane $H$. The same functions $h_q$ and $h_w$ are respectively the Hamiltonian and an integral of motion. The skeletons of these functions are respectively $\eta_q$ and $\eta_w$, which we take as the components of a piecewise linear function $\eta: C^*(\Delta^5) \to \mathbb{R}^2$. By Proposition 7.2, $\eta$ is invariant under $\pi_S$. The map $\pi_S$ acts on $\Pi_S = \Pi_{\gamma_1} \cup \Pi_{\gamma_2} \cup \Pi_{\gamma_7} \cup \Pi_{\gamma_{10}}$, where

- $\Pi_{\gamma_1} = \Pi_{\xi_1} \cup \Pi_{\xi_2} \cup \cdots \cup \Pi_{\xi_8} \pmod{0}$,
- $\Pi_{\gamma_2} = \Pi_{\xi_9} \cup \Pi_{\xi_{10}} \cup \cdots \cup \Pi_{\xi_{16}} \pmod{0}$,
- $\Pi_{\gamma_7} = \Pi_{\xi_{17}} \cup \Pi_{\xi_{18}} \cup \cdots \cup \Pi_{\xi_{24}} \pmod{0}$,
- $\Pi_{\gamma_{10}} = \Pi_{\xi_{25}} \cup \Pi_{\xi_{26}} \cup \cdots \cup \Pi_{\xi_{32}} \pmod{0}$.

For all $i = 1, \ldots, 32$, the polyhedral cone $\Pi_{\xi_i}$ has dimension 4 while $\Delta_{\xi_i, c}$ is a 2-dimensional polygon. The 2-dimensional level set $\Delta_{S,c}$ is invariant under $\pi_S$ and we denote by $\pi_S|_{\Delta_{S,c}}$ the restriction of $\pi_S$ to $\Delta_{S,c}$. Figure 10 shows the domain $\Delta_{S,c}$ and 25,000 iterates by $\pi_S$ of a point in $\Delta_{S,c}$ with random-like distribution. Following the itinerary of a random point we have picked a heteroclinic cycle $\xi$ consisting of 13 $S$-branches

$$\xi := (\xi_{31}, \xi_{32}, \xi_{33}, \xi_{28}, \xi_{12}, \xi_{10}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{6}, \xi_{21}, \xi_{23}, \xi_{31}).$$

The skeleton flow map $\pi_{\xi}$ is represented by the matrix

$$M_{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 3 & \frac{51}{8} & -\frac{35}{4} & -\frac{33}{4} & -\frac{15}{4} \\
0 & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{21}{8} & \frac{21}{4} & \frac{22}{8} & \frac{9}{4} \\
0 & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{8}{4} & \frac{8}{4} & \frac{8}{4} & \frac{8}{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{21}{8} & -\frac{17}{4} & -\frac{23}{8} & -\frac{5}{4}
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

The eigenvalues of $M_{\xi}$, besides 0 and 1 (both with geometric multiplicity 2), are

$$\lambda_u = -8, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_s = -\frac{1}{8}.$$
The corresponding eigenvectors are

\[ w_u = (2, -1, -2, 0, 0, 1), \]
\[ w_s = (-1, -1, 1, 0, 0, 1). \]

An eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue 1 is

\[ p_0 = (0.62, 0.304, 0.152, 0, 0, 0.38). \]

Notice that this \( p_0 \) is not unique because \( \dim(\ker(M_\xi - I)) = 2 \). We have chosen \( c := (c_1, c_2) = (0.242667, -0.088) \) so that \( \eta(p_0) = c \), i.e., \( p_0 \in \Delta_{S,c} \). In fact we have \( p_0 \in \Delta_{\xi_{31},c} \subset \Delta_{\gamma_{10},c} \). Hence \( p_0 \) is a periodic point of the skeleton flow map \( \pi_S \) with period 13.

Figure 16 also depicts the polygons \( \Delta_{\xi_{1},c}, \Delta_{\xi_{2},c}, \Delta_{\xi_{5},c} \) contained in \( \Delta_{\gamma_{1}}, \Delta_{\xi_{10},c}, \Delta_{\xi_{11},c}, \Delta_{\xi_{12},c} \) contained in \( \Delta_{\gamma_{4}}, \Delta_{\xi_{21},c}, \Delta_{\xi_{22},c}, \Delta_{\xi_{23},c} \) contained in \( \Delta_{\gamma_{7}}, \Delta_{\xi_{28},c}, \Delta_{\xi_{29},c} \). The remaining sets \( \Delta_{\xi_{i},c} \) are empty for this choice of \( c \). The orbit of \( p_0 \) is represented by the white dots in Figure 16.

Let \( \ell_u^n \) and \( \ell_s^{-m} \) denote the stable and unstable local manifolds along the orbit of \( p_0 \), the notation introduced in the previous example. Write \( p_k = \pi^k_S(p_0) \) and notice that \( p_{12} = \pi^1_{S}(p_0) = \pi^{12}_{S}(p_0) = p^{1}_{S} \).

Figure 16 also shows that in the first forward and backward iterate (by the skeleton flow map) transversal intersections occur between the “local stable” and the “local unstable” manifolds of different points of the periodic orbit of \( p_0 \). Namely, \( \ell_1^0 \cap \ell_0^0 \neq \emptyset, \ell_{-1}^0 \cap \ell_{0}^0 \neq \emptyset \) and \( \ell_1^0 \cap \ell_{-1}^0 \neq \emptyset \).

By Theorem 7.8 this implies the existence of chaotic behavior for the flow of the replicator \( X_B \).

10. Conclusions and Further Work

For the Hamiltonian polymatrix replicator systems, alluded in Remark 7.5 and studied in [1] by the first two authors, their invariant algebraic Poisson structures induce stratified piecewise constant Poisson structures on the dual cone, preserved by the corresponding skeleton flow. In other words, the skeleton flow inherits the conservative Hamiltonian nature of the original polymatrix replicator vector field. This subject will be addressed by the authors in a future work. Remark 7.6 follows from this theory.

In the Hamiltonian examples discussed in Section 9, chaotic (hyperbolic) and regular (elliptic) behavior co-exist. In both of them, the skeleton flow maps are piecewise linear area preserving maps. Example 2 exhibits a few elliptic periodic points surrounded by invariant curves that we will refer to as elliptic domains [5]. See Figure 16. Notice how the invariant curves break up as they touch the boundary of the associated domain \( \Delta_{\xi,c} \). Outside these elliptic domains, a chaotic sea

---

[5] These are not KAM islands because the piecewise linearity of \( \pi_S \) does not allow any twist.
Figure 16. Homoclinic intersections for the periodic orbit of $p_0$ under the area preserving map $\pi_{\Delta|\Delta_{S,c}}$.

(`random` orbits with positive Lyapunov exponents) seems to prevail. In Figure 16 we can also see a couple of triangular components, $\Delta_{\xi_{11},c}$, $\Delta_{\xi_{22},c}$, consisting of $\pi_S$-fixed points and 10 cyclically permuted small islands each being a continuum of periodic points. These examples solicit the development of an ergodic theory for the class of piecewise linear area preserving maps, and more generally for the class of piecewise linear symplectic maps. We mention a few natural questions about the generic behavior of these systems: Can the number of elliptic domains be infinite? Is the complement of the elliptic domains non-uniformly hyperbolic with respect to Lebesgue measure? Is this complement typically ergodic? Can it have infinitely many ergodic components? In the context of smooth area preserving maps these are very hard open problems, but due to their dynamical rigidity these sort of problems might be much more feasible for piecewise linear area preserving maps. Such a theory would provide a good insight on the asymptotic dynamics.
(along the vertex-edge network) for the classes of Hamiltonian systems on polytopes mentioned above.

General vector fields $X \in \mathcal{X}(\Gamma^d)$ typically do not have any integral of motion and the analysis of their dynamics must be different from the conservative case. The skeleton flow map $\pi_S : \Pi_S \to \Pi_S$ can be projectivized as follows. Take $\eta : \mathcal{C}^*(\Gamma^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ to be the piecewise linear function $\eta(y) := \sum_{\sigma \in F} y_\sigma$ and define $\Delta_\gamma := \Pi_\gamma \cap \eta^{-1}(1)$, $\Delta_\xi := \Pi_\xi \cap \eta^{-1}(1)$ as before. The simplex $\Delta_\gamma$ can be viewed as the projectivization of the sector $\Pi_\gamma$ because every half-line through the origin in $\Pi_\gamma$ intersects $\Delta_\gamma$ at a single point. Likewise $\Delta_\xi$ is the projectivization of $\Pi_\xi$. If $\xi$ is a heteroclinic path ending at some flowing edge $\gamma$ then the linear map $\pi_\xi : \Pi_\xi \to \Pi_\gamma$ induces a projective map $\hat{\pi}_S : \Delta_\xi \to \Delta_\gamma$ defined by $\hat{\pi}_\xi(y) := \eta(\pi_\xi(y))^{-1}\pi_\xi(y)$. These are the branches of the projective skeleton map $\hat{\pi}_S : \Delta_S \to \Delta_S$ defined on $\Delta_S := \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{S}(\chi)} \Delta_\xi$ by $\hat{\pi}_S(y) := \hat{\pi}_\xi(y)$ if $y \in \Delta_\xi$ for some $S$-branch $\xi$. The suspension of the projective map $\hat{\pi}_S$ on $\Delta_S$ can be viewed as a blowup of the flow $\varphi_X^t$ along the polytope’s boundary, i.e., $\hat{\pi}_S$ extends the dynamics of $\varphi_X^t$ to the blow-up polytope’s boundary. In the conservative case, if $h \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma^d)$ is a proper $X$-invariant function with skeleton $\eta$ and the same order function as $X$, the projective skeleton map $\hat{\pi}_S$ rules the common dynamics on all level sets of $\eta$.

The map $\pi_S$ factors through $\hat{\pi}_S$ acting linearly on the fibers. Hence $\pi_S$ may be regarded as a 1-dimensional linear cocycle over $\hat{\pi}_S$, where the sign of its Lyapunov exponent gives the repelling vs attracting nature of the asymptotic boundary dynamics. Given a heteroclinic cycle $\xi$, if $v \in \Delta_\xi$ is an eigenvector of $M_\xi$ with a positive eigenvalue then $v$ is a periodic point of $\hat{\pi}_S$ whose nature can be read from the spectrum of $M_\xi$. This spectrum also determines whether the heteroclinic cycle $\xi$ is attracting or repelling. If a compact $\hat{\pi}_S$-invariant set is partially hyperbolic (with a central direction of co-dimension 1) regarded as an invariant subset of the blown-up boundary of the flow $\varphi_X^t$, then it determines a local strong stable/unstable foliation in the polytope’s interior. The special case where this compact invariant set is a single periodic orbit provides an invariant (local stable/unstable) manifold of the heteroclinic cycle associated with the periodic orbit. These dynamical foliations and invariant manifolds are useful tools to analyze the dynamics in the polytope’s interior, part of a theory being developed in a work under preparation. This theory could for instance help to provide sufficient conditions for permanence, an important concept in EGT. In this spirit, a theorem of Jansen [13] with a game flavored sufficient criteria for permanence, in the framework of replicator dynamics, was recently extended by the third author to the broader class of polymatrix replicators [15].

Although the piecewise linear maps $\pi_S$ are in general discontinuous, because orbits in adjacent domains eventually diverge, in some cases
\( \pi_S \) is continuous throughout several neighboring domains. This implies that the rescaling along the vertex-edge polytope’s skeleton can be augmented to include some higher dimensional faces of the polytope. An extreme example is the 3-dimensional Hamiltonian depicted in Figure 3 which has a globally continuous skeleton flow. In this model the rescaling can be augmented to include the whole cube’s boundary. This will the subject of another future work.

This theory can be applied to most ODE models in EGT. For systems depending on many parameters, an algorithmic analysis of the skeleton (asymptotic) dynamics can split the space of parameters into regions where the dynamics of the skeleton flow maps are qualitatively similar. This would help to understand the bifurcations taking place in the polytope’s interior as the parameters cross the boundary between adjacent regions. For example, higher dimensional cases of the systems studied at [21] could be investigated. In each parametric region, the mentioned tools can be used to detect and characterize some of its invariant dynamical structures such as heteroclinic cycles, periodic points, hyperbolic invariant sets, invariant manifolds and invariant foliations, which are essential to understand the model’s dynamics in the polytope’s interior. In some future work the authors plan to illustrate this approach with the analysis of some concrete EGT model.
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